



CALL FOR PROPOSALS

SOIL RESEARCH

2025-28

Evaluation Grid

Este é o formulário de avaliação que a Comissão Julgadora utilizará.
Veja como seu projeto se enquadra. O formulário serve para o Coordenador
ajustar a proposta para que possa ser bem avaliada no processo de seleção

This evaluation grid is provided for reference purposes. The jury reserves the right to consider other criteria.

Title of submitted project:	
Name of the structure:	

Date submitted to the Fonds de Dotation Roullier:	
---------------------------------------------------	--

	Yes	No
Package submitted before 4/21/2025:		
Package is complete:		
Package is correctly filled out:		

First project evaluation expert	
Second project evaluation expert	



Criterion 1: Eligibility of the project proponent		
Project proponent		
Public research entity		
University		
University chair		
Association/NGO		
Foundation or endowment fund		
Government agency		
French or international institution		
The project proponent is not one of the above structures.		
The project proponent is eligible for philanthropic funding.		
The project proponent is recognized for its scientific expertise.		

Criterion 2: Members of the consortium surrounding the project		
Consortium members		
Number of partners in the project		
Number of financial partners		
Amount of co-financing		
Project funding is realistic in comparison with the project's ambition.		
Number of technical partners		
Quality of technical partners		
Formal partnership agreements exist between the project proponent and its partners		
<u>Expert reviewers' comments</u>		

Criterion 3: Project geography		
The project takes place in the following geographic zone:	Yes	No



Europe (including France)		
The Mediterranean Basin		
Latin America		
North America		
India		
The project includes several countries		

Criterion 4: Project basis		
Project focus	Yes	No
The project addresses the following issue: <i>How can knowledge about soils be improved and shared with farmers to ensure the fertility and sustainability of soil resources?</i>		
It is a general interest project (it has no commercial or profit-driven purpose).		
<u>Expert reviewers' comments</u>		
The project aims to preserve the quality of agricultural soils and/or restore their fertility, or combat degradation processes.		
Soil studies and analyses are planned as part of the project.		
The project includes the development of new skills.		
The project plans to disseminate best practices for soils to farmers or their advisors.		
The project promotes scientific research within the research and farming communities and offers solutions.		
The productivity of agricultural soils is improved by increasing yield or diversifying crops.		
The productivity of farming systems is enhanced by introducing environmentally beneficial practices for the soil.		
The project takes into account previous initiatives or experiments in the same domain and in the same zone.		



Expert reviewers' comments :

Project impact

The project has a significant impact on natural resources (soils, biodiversity, water, etc.)

The project's impact is measurable through pertinent indicators both during and after its duration.

The project contributes to the attenuation of or adaptation to changes in climate in the relevant zone.

The project contributes to maintaining agricultural activity.

Expert reviewers' comments :

Project feasibility

The project proponent has the technical and financial capacity to lead and coordinate the project and an eventual consortium.

The funding allocated through the call for proposals is intended for project engineering actions that are appropriately integrated with the project's other activities.

Consideration for upscaling the initiatives

The project proponent has planned for long-term implications of the initiative including capitalization, dissemination, promotion, replication, advocacy, etc.



Criterion 5: Project duration

The project will take place over ... months	Yes	No
The project duration is between 3 and 5 years.		
The timeline is realistic in relation to the project's implementation.		
<u>Expert reviewers' comments</u>		

Criterion 6: Project monitoring and project proponent commitments

	Yes	No
The project proponent has planned to integrate an active member of the Fonds de Dotation Roullier in the steering committee to regularly follow the implementation and progress of the project and report to the Board of the Fonds de Dotation Roullier.		
The project proponent agrees to present the project and answer questions from members of the Fonds de Dotation Roullier, who will make project assessments as part of the review process.		
The project proponent guarantees the "general interest" aspect of the project.		
The project proponent has planned to communicate key success indicators and make regular reports on the project to the Fonds de Dotation Roullier as indicated in the agreement that will link the project proponent to the Fonds de Dotation.		
The project proponent has planned to provide communication elements regarding project implementation and results according to the terms defined in the agreement that will link the project proponent to the Fonds de Dotation Roullier.		
The project proponent has planned to participate in events, seminars, and conferences organized by the Fonds de Dotation Roullier to present the project and its results.		
<u>Expert reviewers' comments :</u>		



Overall opinions

Favorable	Reserved	Unfavorable
-----------	----------	-------------

Comments - Strengths and weaknesses of the project