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Summary
The cell wall is no longer considered an inert and silent structure on the outside of the cell but instead, seems to be involved in an extensive cross-talk with the cytoplasm. Turgor, osmosensing, mechanical stress and other strains – all are mediated through the wall. The walled cell senses these signals through integral plasma membrane proteins whose extracytoplasmic domains are extended to the wall and intracytoplasmic domains are coupled with the components of cytoplasmic signal pathways. With its dynamic interactions the cell wall is comparable with the extracellular matrix of animal cells. Only a small part of the sensory machinery has been revealed in both plant and fungal cells.
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INTRODUCTION
The structures covering cell surfaces, i.e., the extracellular matrix in animal cells and the cell wall in prokaryotes, plant and fungi, have been viewed differently. While many functions, such as the involvement in differentiation, cell-to-cell interactions or development of tissues and organs, are ascribed to the extracellular matrix, the cell wall has only been regarded as a mechanical barrier or support for the protoplast taking part in morphogenesis of a cell or plant body. Recent years have brought about considerable progress in our understanding of the cell wall fine structure, regulatory proteins of plasma membranes, i.e., receptors and ligands, and signalling pathways starting at the cell periphery and operating in the cytoplasm. These findings direct our thinking towards a more dynamic view of the plant cell wall, i.e., the structure which is, in fact, an elaborated extracellular matrix (Bolwell 1993, Shibaoka 1994, Braam 1999, Pilling and Höfte 2003). In this short review I would like to present some recent findings on the intimate relationships of the cell wall and cytoplasm and on the plasma membrane as an interface for a cross-talk between the cell wall and cytoplasm.

CELL WALL STRUCTURE
The plant cell wall has been described as a complex of carbohydrates and proteins that forms a continuous layer on the cell surface. Current models present the primary cell wall as a mesh of cellulosic microfibrils filled with hemicelluloses, pectins and proteins (Cosgrove 1997, Kohorn 2000). Most of the wall proteins are glycoproteins, structural or enzymatic. Cellulose is a polymer of β-1,4 linked D-glucose; these polymers are woven together into
linear bundles of cellulose fibres. Hemicelluloses comprise a family of heteropolymers containing glucose, xylose, arabinose, galactose and fucose. Pectins constitute a group of polygalacturonic acids that vary in side chains with arabinose and galactose (Cosgrove 1997). Both hemicelluloses and pectins intercalate the cellulose lattice. The cellulose polymers are synthesized in the plasma membrane by enzyme complexes called rosettes (Saxena and Brown 2000, Reiter 2002, Doblin et al. 2002), while hemicelluloses, pectins and enzymes are secreted by endomembrane systems (Cosgrove 1997, Kohorn 2000).

The fungal cell wall, represented here by that of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, shares β-1,3 glucan, as a fibrous component, with the plant cell wall, but other polysaccharides and glycoproteins differ markedly. β-1,3 glucan and mannoproteins constitute the main part of the wall whereas β-1,6 glucan and chitin are minor components (Lipke and Ovalle 1998, de Nobel et al. 2000). Mannoproteins are localized in the outer layer while fibrous glucan and chitin form the inner part. Recent models show the wall as a latticework made of unit modules, built round a molecule of β-1,3 glucan (Lipke and Ovalle 1998, Smits et al. 1999).

**CELL WALL MODIFICATION**

The wall is plastic in many ways. The yeast cell wall is softened for bud emergence, expands during bud growth, is modified by the addition of bud scars, and becomes more refractory as it ages (Lipke and Ovalle 1998, de Nobel et al. 2000). The wall is remodelled during mating, cell fusion, pseudohyphal formation and spore germination. This remodelling is analogous to wall softening in plant cells during growth and maturation or in response to injury or pathogens (Zandomeni and Schopfer 1998, de Nobel et al. 1999). In protoplasts, it has been found that the cell wall is an important factor for the renewal of morphogenesis (Nečas and Svoboda 1981, Sawabe et al. 1997, Svoboda et al. 2001), for cytokinesis (Gabriel et al. 1994) and pheromone responses (Pokorna and Svoboda 1995). The question arises as to what are the mechanisms inducing metabolic changes in the wall, maintaining cell wall integrity and driving morphogenesis according to the cell’s needs. In analogy with the animal extracellular matrix, one could expect that these mechanisms may also be mediated by integral plasma membrane proteins immersed in the cytoplasm and extending into the cell wall. A number of such proteins have recently been reported.

**PLASMA MEMBRANE PROTEINS**

Proteins analogous to animal integrin, selectin, cadherin and other adhesion molecules (Schoenwaelder and Burridge 1999) have been sought in plants and, indeed, antibodies raised against human or chicken integrins have been found to bind to the surface of plant cells (Katembe et al. 1997, Swatzell et al. 1999). One of the features of integrins, which is to bind to the RDG motif (Arg-Gly-Asp tripeptide) of fibronectin (Bissell and Nelson 1999), has surprisingly been found in plants (Laval et al. 1999). However, a sequence search of the *Arabidopsis* genome has revealed no homologues of animal plasma membrane receptors or linkers (Kohorn, 2000). In *S. cerevisiae* cytoplasmic proteins cross-reacting with integrin antibodies were detected on immunoblots (Holubárová 2002). However, the same antibodies failed to bind to any surface structures in yeast cells, as seen in the fluorescence microscope. The genome databases Blast, Fasta and Proteome did not reveal any *S. cerevisiae* sequence homology with human or chicken integrins (Holubárová 2002).

In *A. thaliana* several plasma membrane proteins have been reported to have a potential signalling role in cytoplasm-cell wall cross-talks. One of them, an arabinogalactan-rich protein (AGP), is highly glycosylated and, with its GPI (glycosyl phosphatidyl inositol) anchor, may connect the plasma membrane with cell wall components (Svetek et al. 1999). Other hot candidates for cell wall-cyttoplasmic signalling are WAKs (wall-associated kinases, He et al. 1996, Cosgrove 2001). These serin-threonin kinases are linked to the cell wall, span the plasma membrane and have a cytoplasmic kinaseet domain (Yang 1998). The association of WAKs with the wall is very strong because, during plasmolysis, they remain in the cell wall. WAKs can bind pectin and proteins like GRP (glycin-rich proteins) and seem to be involved in the control of cell expansion and in the response of the plant to injury and pathogen infection (Kohorn, 2001). The coupling of WAKs with cytoplasmic signal pathways has not so far been reported.

In budding yeast, several candidate molecules have been reported; their structure and localization suggest their involvement in cell wall-cytoplasm signaling.

*BUD* proteins mediate positional information on a new bud (Chant 1999). Three of them are of special importance: *bud 10* controlling an axial budding in haploids, and *bud 8* and *bud 9* having a specific effect on bipolar budding in diploids (Zahner et al. 1996). *BUD 10* is a single-pass transmembrane protein with a 500-amino acid extracellular domain and a 300 amino acid intracellular domain. The
extracellular domain is highly glycosylated and may serve to anchor to proteins in the cell wall (Roemer et al. 1996). Perturbation of the cell wall structure may then induce molecular changes in BUD 10 and, via an interaction with other intramembranous proteins, a positional signal may be transmitted to the cytoplasm. So far no extracellular ligands for BUD 10 have been reported (Chant 1999).

The bud 8 and bud 9 sequences predict transmembrane proteins with a 450–500 amino acid extracellular domain and a short intracellular domain (Chant 1999). It can be expected that the two proteins perform their function first of all in controlling the budding site, but their large extracellular domain extending deep into the wall may be involved in multiple contacts and may thus transmit information from the wall to the cytoplasm.

Some very promising candidate molecules have recently been found during the analysis of a signaling cascade ensuring cell wall integrity (Levin and Errede 1995, Jung and Levin 1999, van Drogen and Peter 2001). The loss of any component of the cascade results in cell lysis defects under conditions when the cell is exposed to environmental stressors, such as elevated temperature or hypo-osmotic shock (Kamada et al. 1995), mating pheromones (Buehler and Errede 1997) and calcofluor white, a chitin antagonist (Ketela et al. 1999). The mechanism by which information regarding the state of the cell wall is transmitted to the intracellular signaling apparatus remains unknown. Two major cell surface sensors for the activation of cell integrity signalling have been described. One of them, Wsc1, is responsible for signalling wall stress during vegetative growth and the other one, Mid2, is suggested to signal wall stress during pheromone-induced morphogenesis (Rajavel et al. 1999). Both Wsc1 and Mid2 are transmembrane proteins that reside in the plasma membrane (Rajavel et al. 1999, Lodder et al. 1999). They both possess a small intracytoplasmic domain, single transmembrane region and extracellular domain rich in Ser/Thr residues and highly mannosylated (Rajavel et al. 1999). The extracellular domains of Wsc1 and Mid2 may thus act as probes of the extracellular matrix (Rajavel et al. 1999).

**CYTOPLASMIC TARGETS INVOLVED IN THE CROSS-TALK**

How are the signals from the wall transmitted to the cytoplasm and what are the cytoplasmic targets of these signals?

In animal cells, structural changes in integrins activate adjacent plasma membrane proteins that, in turn, nucleate the formation of large complexes containing both cytoskeletal and catalytic signaling proteins (Clark and Brugge 1995). Regulatory proteins, such as those of the Rho family, are believed to play an important role in stabilizing cell adhesion and regulating cell shape, morphology and mobility (Schoenwaelder and Burridge 1999). The mediating role of actin, as well as that of microtubules and intermediate filaments, has been well established (Schoenwaelder and Burridge 1999).

Both Wsc1 and Mid2, sensors of cell wall perturbations in yeast, are thought to be connected with intracellular biochemical pathways. Recently, it has been found that cytoplasmic domains of these proteins activate ROM2 and RHO1 proteins that, in turn, may switch the cell wall integrity pathway (Philip et Levin 2001). This suggests that both these proteins may be involved in monitoring structural changes in the cell wall and transmitting the relevant information to the cytoplasm.

The BUD 8, BUD 9 and BUD 10 plasma membrane proteins, other possible sensors, may activate subcortically located targets such as BUD 1, BUD 2 and FAR 1 proteins (Chant 1999). These may, in turn, activate actin and microtubules and thus induce morphogenetic events during budding. There are a number of other important proteins in subcortical regions possibly involved in the organization of microtubules and actin such as Kar9 (Miller at Rose 1998), ARP (actin-related proteins, Moreau et al. 1996), tropomyosin (Pruyne et al. 1999), tropomyosin, sarcomeric, 18S rRNA (Buchanan et al. 1998). To what extent these proteins are engaged in the transmission of information from the cell wall to the cytoplasm is not known.

In plant cells, no detail information on the direct connection of WAKs sensors with cytoplasmic signal pathways has been reported. However, a lot of work has been done to define the role of microtubules in shaping the cell.

The growth of a plant cell, occurring over the entire cell surface, is characterized by cell extension. The cell wall of a growing cell contains a microfibrillar network with cellulose microfibrils arranged parallel to one another but transverse to the axis of growth (Kropf et al. 1998). The orientation of cellulose microfibrils seems to be controlled by cortical microtubules also arranged transverse to the axis of elongation. It has been suggested that, by spinning out linear cellulose molecules, the cellulose-synthesizing rosettes are propelled across the membrane surface. They are indirectly guided by microtubules attached to the inner face of the plasma membrane (Cosgrove 2000, Reiter 2002).

The drug treatment experiments and an increasing number of mutants in microtubule-associated proteins and actin-modulated proteins (Kost et al. 1999, Foster et al. 2003) support the view of these cytoskeletal components as important...
for cell wall formation and maintenance of cell wall integrity.

The use of biochemical, cellular, molecular and genetic approaches has greatly improved our understanding of the cell wall as a dynamic cell compartment. Nevertheless, many questions remain open and more work is needed especially in the definition of molecular sensors and their association with cytoplasmic signal pathways that ensure the appropriate response of the cytoplasm to cell wall signals. In this context the exploitation of recent genomic data will be of great importance.
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