Full text provided by www.sciencedirect.com

# Interactions of insect pheromones and plant semiochemicals

Gadi V.P. Reddy<sup>1</sup> and Angel Guerrero<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Agricultural Experiment Station, College of Natural and Applied Sciences, University of Guam, Mangilao, Guam 96923, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Biological Organic Chemistry, Institute of Chemical and Environmental Research (CSIC), Jordi Girona 18-26, 08034-Barcelona, Spain

Plant semiochemicals are known to produce a wide range of behavioral responses in insects. Some insects sequester or acquire host plant compounds and use them as sex pheromones or sex pheromone precursors. Other insects produce or release sex pheromones in response to specific host plant cues, and chemicals from host plants often synergistically enhance the response of an insect to sex pheromones. Plant volatiles can also have inhibitory or repellent effects that interrupt insect responses to pheromones and attract predators and parasitoids to the attacking species after herbivory injury. Here, we review different interactions between plant semiochemicals and insect pheromones, paying attention to those that can result in the development of more efficient and reliable programs for pest control.

Interactions between insect pheromones and semiochemicals (molecules that carry signals from one organism to another) from the host plant have been known for nearly as long as pheromones (substances secreted by an individual that induce a specific reaction in another individual of the same species) have been recognized as a key communication system within species. Such interactions are manifested as effects of the host plant on insect physiology and behavior, reflecting different types of insect strategies to optimize feeding, mating and reproduction [1]. Some insects acquire host plant chemicals to use them as sex pheromones or sex pheromone precursors. Other host plant volatiles can induce the production or release of pheromones in certain insects and often synergize or enhance insect responses to sex pheromones. Host compounds can also have an inhibitory or repellent effect, interrupting the response of insects to their own pheromone. In other cases, host-derived compounds resulting from herbivorous attack can attract predators to the attacking insect and therefore serve as a defense mechanism for the plant. Here, we discuss various interactions between host semiochemicals and insect pheromones, and their ecological implications in terms of insect behavior, feeding and reproduction.

#### Plant stimulation of pheromone production

Host plants play a key role in the production and use of sex pheromones by herbivorous insects through larval or adult sequestration of chemically active compounds and pheromone precursors [2]. One of the best examples of sequestration of plant chemicals by larvae and their subsequent use by adult males in sex attraction or courtship interactions is shown in Utetheisa ornatrix (Arctiidae), whose courtship pheromone derives from pyrrolizidine alkaloids (PAs) ingested at the larval stage from the host plant Crotalaria spectabilis (Figure 1) [3]. U. ornatrix larvae sequester PAs (e.g. monocrotaline) and retain the alkaloids through metamorphosis into the adult stage to provide egg protection for the next generation. Females receive PAs from males during copulation and transmit the alkaloids together with their own load to the eggs [4]. PA sequestering species are found in the



Figure 1. Example of acquisition of pheromone compounds from host plant precursors. Male Utetheisa ornatrix (Lepidoptera: Arctiidae) produces (R)-hydroxydanaidal from dietary pyrrolizidine alkaloids (e.g. monocrotaline) obtained by larvae from the host plant Crotalaria spectabilis [84].

Corresponding authors: Gadi V.P. Reddy (reddy@guam.uog.edu), Angel Guerrero (agpqob@iiqab.csic.es).

www.sciencedirect.com 1360-1385/\$ - see front matter © 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2004.03.009

254

Review

Lepidoptera (many butterflies and moths), Coleoptera (some leaf beetles), Orthoptera (certain grasshoppers) and Homoptera (certain aphids), and are used as strong feeding deterrents against invertebrate predators such as spiders, ants, coccinellids and lacewings [2]. In addition, PAs are strongly hepatotoxic and pneumotoxic to vertebrates and genotoxic to insects [5]. PAs are stored and maintained in the form of the non-toxic oxides and, upon feeding, they are reduced in the gut and adsorbed as tertiary alkaloids. They can be detoxified by N-oxidation with a soluble NADPH-dependent flavin monooxygenase present in the hemolymph of PA-sequestering insects and used them as chemical defense [6] or can be bioactivated by microsomal liver cytochrome P450-dependent monooxygenases into unstable pyrrolic intermediates that are highly reactive alkylating agents [7]. In the case of the arctiid Tyria jacobaeae, PAs are oxidized in the hemolymph by senecionine N-oxygenase (SNO), a flavin-dependent monooxygenase (FMO) with high substrate specificity for PAs. Peptide microsequences obtained from purified T. jacobaeae SNO were used to clone the corresponding cDNA [8]. T. jacobaeae SNO possesses an N-terminal signal peptide characteristic of extracellular proteins and belongs to a large family of FMO-like sequences of mostly unknown function. The gene for T. jacobaeae SNO, highly specific for toxic PAs, was probably recruited from a pre-existing insect specific FMO gene family of unknown function [8].

Adult males can also obtain PAs from plants and use them as pheromone precursors. This happens in some arctiids, most Dancinae and Ithomiinae butterflies. Cysseps fulvicollis (Arctiidae) males produce hydroxydanaidal from PAs of dead and damaged plants, and release it as a sex attractant [9]. The butterflies are attracted to PAs and ingest them with nectar or, most frequently, from dead parts or withered twigs of the plants [5]. These butterflies advertise their unpalatability to potential predators by conspicuous warning coloration. Conversion of plant PAs into the pheromone has been suggested to occur in U. ornatrix through aromatization of the dihydropyrrole ring of monocrotaline, followed by ester hydrolysis and oxidation [3] (Figure 1). It should be realized that the chiral R configuration of both the alkaloid precursor and the pheromone is maintained. This mechanism was confirmed by feeding labeled PA heliotrine to larvae of Creatonotos transiens [10] and in Estigmene acrea [11].

The acquisition of chemicals from plants and their use in a sexual context is also known for certain species of orchid bees and tephritid fruit flies. Male bees collect a mixture of terpenoids from orchids and use them as an aggregation pheromone to induce the formation of leks (sites where males compete for females) or as a sex pheromone that also attracts opportunistic males [12].

Host plant stimulation of pheromone biosynthesis by Lepidoptera has been reported in *Helicoverpa* spp. [13] Volatile organic chemicals (VOCs) from corn silk or tomatoes triggered sex pheromone production in female abdominal glands so that moths removed from the host do not exhibit reproductive activity, including production of sex pheromones.

Many species of beetles feed and mate on host plants as a result of attraction to sex pheromone. In the earliest studies, the association between feeding and sex attraction suggested the acquisition of plant compounds and their use as pheromone or pheromone precursors by the insect. Thus, exposure to myrcene in the volatile headspace increased the amounts of ipsenol and ipsdienol in hindgut tissues of male *Ips paraconfusus* [14] and other *Dendroctonus* spp. [15] Application of <sup>2</sup>H-labeled myrcene and (–)and (+)- $\alpha$ -pinene resulted in production of <sup>2</sup>H-ipsenol and <sup>2</sup>H ipsdienol and *cis-* and *trans-*verbenol [16] in hindguts of *I. paraconfusus*, providing convincing evidence of pheromone biosynthetic relationships in scolytids. The bioorganic reactions whereby monoterpenes are metabolized to oxygenated compounds are likely to involve P450 enzymes [17].

However, many studies of the endocrine regulation of pheromone production in scolytids foreshadowed the observation that pheromone compounds are often synthesized from short-chain metabolic building blocks rather than from host precursors. Strong evidence for *de novo* synthesis of isoprenoids by scolytids came from *in vivo* studies in which ipsenol, ipsdienol and amitinol in *I. paraconfusus* and ipsdienol and amitinol in *Ips pini* appeared to be labeled from <sup>14</sup>C-acetate [18,19] or <sup>14</sup>C-mevalonolactone [19]. As an alternative biosynthetic route to an aggregation pheromone component of *Dendroctonus frontalis*, a fatty-acid-like elongation of leucine or catabolism of leucine to acetyl-CoA followed by isoprenoid synthesis via 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA (HMG-CoA) has been proposed [20].

Juvenile hormone III (JHIII) appears to play a central role as a regulator for pheromone production in Scolytidae. For instance, the HMG-CoA reductase (HMG-R) inhibitor compactin and the JH analog methoprene were used to offer indirect evidence that JH regulates de novo pheromone biosynthesis in male Ips duplicatus [21]. In <sup>14</sup>C-acetate- and <sup>14</sup>C-mevalonolactone-based experiments, I. pini was found to release JHIII in its corpora allata, and increasing topical JHIII dose resulted in an increase of radiolabeled acetate into ipsdienol [19]. This unequivocally demonstrated that JHIII regulates de novo pheromone production (Figure 2). However, incorporation of radiolabeled mevalonolactone into ipsdienol by this insect was unaffected by increasing JHIII dose, suggesting that JH primarily influences enzymes before mevalonate in this pathway [i.e. HMG-CoA synthase (HMG-S) and HMG-R] [22]. However, it is not clear whether JHIII alone is sufficient to upregulate de novo pheromone biosynthesis in all Ips spp. Comparative studies of I. paraconfusus and I. pini indicated: (a) that JHIII induces 150 times more of the main pheromone component (ipsdienol) in *I. pini* than of the main pheromone component (ipsenol) in I. paraconfusus; (b) that JHIII stimulates HMG-R activity in male I. pini but not in male I. paraconfusus; and (c) that JHIII induces similar increases in the transcript for HMG-R in both species [22]. It appears that, in I. paraconfusus, JHIII can act in concert with a second feeding-associated hormonal factor to activate HMG-R fully and that this factor does not appear to be necessary in I. pini. The difference of regulation of the de novo pheromone biosynthesis in these two closely related species of Scolytidae is surprising and represents a

Review



Figure 2. Isoprenoid biosynthetic pathway leading through mevalonate to hemiterpenoid (C<sub>5</sub>) and monoterpenoid (C<sub>10</sub>) pheromones in Scolytidae. The biosynthesis is regulated by juvenile hormone III [85]. Abbreviation: HMG-CoA, 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA. Adapted from Ref. [22].

cautious note about the possibility of extrapolating pheromone regulation studies from one bark beetle to another [22].

Recently, northern blot experiments have shown that JHIII regulates HMG-R gene expression in a dose- and time-dependent manner in male I. paraconfusus, I. pini and Dendroctonus jeffreyei [23]. The expression of the gene encoding HMG-S in D. jeffreyei has also been demonstrated but the modest maximum expression levels (up to fourfold above non-induced level) for HMG-S obtained after JHIII treatment is consistent with the minor role played by HMG-S in regulating the mevalonate pathway [24]. However, our current understanding of the effects of JHIII and feeding on HMG-R and HMG-S is limited the mRNA level and the HMG-R activity level, and we know little about the mechanism by which JHIII increases HMG-R transcription and mRNA stability, or the influence of JHIII on HMG-R protein localization, abundance and stability. Moreover, most of the progress in our knowledge of pheromone production in Scolytidae is based on only few species (I. paraconfusus, I. pini and D. jeffreyei), in comparison with nearly 6000 known species of Scolytidae. New biochemical and genomics approaches will be essential for a more complete understanding of how scolytids produce their pheromone components, thus

targeting key points for the control of these economically important insects. In this context, a comprehensive sequence tag database has been produced from a cDNA library of midgets from JHIII treated male *I. pini* [22].

## Plant stimulation of pheromone release

Stimulation of pheromone release by plant volatiles occurs in many species of Coleoptera and Lepidoptera. In the Coleoptera, some beetle species, including the boll weevil Anthonomus grandis [25], are thought to release the pheromone after feeding on the host plant, but this has only been definitely proved in a few cases. However, it has been adequately documented that the oil palm Elaeis quineensis, a host of the African palm weevil Rynchophorus phoenicis (Curculionidae), produces a mixture of volatile esters from which ethyl acetate induces males to release the pheromone (E)-6-methyl-2-hepten-4-ol (rhyncophorol) [26]. This compound is not active in the field unless mixed with ethyl acetate or other host volatiles such as hexanal, iso-amyl acetate or isopentanol. In view of these results, traps baited with rhyncophorol, sugarcane and ethyl acetate have been recommended to control infestations by R. phoenicis [26,27]. In the Lepidoptera, there are only few studies on plant-volatile induction of pheromone release, probably because moths readily 256

Review

Table 1. Examples of synergistin of plant volutiles and aggregation pheromones	Table 1.	Examples of	fsynergism	of plant	volatiles and	aggregation	pheromones
--	----------	-------------	------------	----------	---------------	-------------	------------

Host	Insect	Plant volatiles	Aggregation pheromone	Refs
Cotton	Anthonomus grandis	<i>Trans</i> -2-hexenol, <i>cis</i> -3-hexenol, <i>n</i> -hexanol	Grandisol, grandisal	[25]
Palm, sugarcane ( <i>Saccharum officinarum</i> ), pineapple, banana	Metamasius hemipterus sericeus	Ethyl esters	5-Methyl-4-nonanol, 2-methyl-4-heptanol	[67]
Apple, orange, stored grains, spices, seeds	Carpophilus hemipterus	Propanoic acid, butanoic acid, methanol, 2-propanol, <i>n</i> -heptanol, methyl butanoate, propanol	(2E,4E,6E,8E)-3,5,7-trimethyl-2,4,6,8-decatetraene	[68]
Cereal grain, flour	Sitophilus oryzae	Valeraldehyde, maltol, vanillin	Sitophinone	[69]
Palm	Rhynchophorus phoenicis, Rhynchophorus vulneratus	e.g. Alcohols and esters	3-Methyl-4-octanol, 4-methyl-5-nonanol (rynchophorol)	[70]
Palm	Rhynchophorus cruentatus	Ethyl acetate	5-Methyl-4-octanol (cruentol)	[71]
Wheat	Carpophilus mutilatus	Fermenting whole wheat bread dough	(3E,5E,7E)-5-Ethyl-7-methyl-3,5,7-undecatriene	[72]
Coconut, palm, banana	Rhynchophorus palmarum	Ethyl acetate	(2E)-2-Methyl-5-hepten-4-ol	[26]
Wheat	Carpophilus obsoletus	Propyl acetate	(2E,4E,6E,8E)-3,5,7-Trimethyl-2,4,6,8-undecatetraene	[73]
Palm	Rhynchophorus phoenicis	Palm tissue volatiles	Rhynchophorol	[64]
Palm	Rhynchophorus ferrugineus	Host plant volatiles	Ferrugineol (4-methyl-5-nonanol)	[74]
Oil palm	Oryctes rhinoceros	Oil palm, fruit bunches	Ethyl-4-methyloctanoate	[75]
(Elaeis oleifera)				
Coconut, palm, banana	Rhynchophorus palmarum, Dynamis borassi	Ethanol, ethyl acetate	Rhynchophorol	[27]
Palm, sugarcane	Rhabdoscelus obscurus	Ethyl acetate	2-Methyl-4-octanol, (2E)-6-methyl-2-hepten-4-ol, 2-methyl-4-heptanol	[76]
Fermenting aspen ( <i>Populus tremula</i> ) bark	Drosophila borealis, Drosophila littoralis	Host odors	Ethyl tiglate	[77]

deliver pheromone molecules even in the absence of host volatiles. Cases have been reported in which females are stimulated to release sex pheromones by the presence of pollen from the host [28] or by volatiles from the plant on which they then release the sex pheromone to attract males [29]. Some female moths deposit their pheromone on leaf surfaces, where they are adsorbed and then rereleased [30]. Depositing pheromones on leaf surfaces can make the attractant signal easier to track for males by slowing down the release rate to the atmosphere and increasing the amplitude of the pheromone plume [30].

# Elicitation of a pheromone behavior by plant volatiles: synergism

Host plant volatiles can also evoke a positive effect on the behavior of insects responding to sex pheromones released in association with the host plant. This effect can result in synergism in which the response to the mixture of pheromone and plant volatiles is greater than the combined responses to the individual components. Synergism between plant semiochemicals and pheromones can contribute to more successful mate finding and therefore it is likely to play an important role in reproductive isolation. Host odor enhancement of attraction responses to pheromones occurs in several insect orders (Tables 1,2). In the Coleoptera, enhancement of an insect pheromone response by green leaf volatiles (GLVs) (blends of six-carbon alcohols, aldehydes and esters produced by plants as a result of oxidative degradation of surface lipids) was first reported in 1989 [25]. There was a remarkable increase in catches of the boll weevil A. grandis when traps were baited with trans-2-hexen-1-ol, cis-3-hexen-1-ol or 1-hexanol paired with the boll weevil aggregation pheromone. Moreover, *trans*-2-hexen-1-ol also extended the longevity of attractiveness of pheromone-baited traps [25]. Males and females of *Anaglyptus subfasciatus* (Cerambycidae), one of the most harmful forest pests in Japan, are attracted to the Japanese cedar *Cryptomeria japonica* and the Japanese cypress *Chamaecyparis obtuse* for feeding [31]. Mixtures of one of the host floral constituents, methyl phenyl acetate, with the male-released pheromone were significantly more attractive to females than the pheromone or the ester alone.

Bark beetles convert host plant terpenes into oxygenated products that can serve as aggregation pheromones [32]. When host kairomones (chemicals released from one organism that induce an adaptively favorable response by an individual of another species) are released in combination with these pheromones, there can be a synergistic or additive effect. One of the first reports of such an effect is the synergistic action of  $\alpha$ -pinene, a major host monoterpene in southern yellow pine (Pinus spp.), on frontalin, the primary component of the aggregation pheromone of the southern pine beetle D. frontalis, to stimulate mass attack [33]. Other cases have also been found (Table 1). In the European cockchafer, Melolontha melolontha, the sex pheromone toluquinone per se was not attractive to males in funnel traps. However, when it was mixed with GLVs mimicking the bouquet of mechanically damaged leaves, the activity of the lure was synergistically enhanced [34]. This is the first report in which a sex pheromone of a scarab beetle required the concomitant presence of GLVs to be active.

In the Lepidoptera, several cases of synergism have been noticed both in the laboratory and in the field (Table 2). For instance, mixtures of GLVs from cabbage (*Brassica oleracea*) Review

Table 2. Examples of synergisin of plaint volatiles and sex pheromore	Table 2. Exam	ples of synergisr	n of plant volatiles	and sex pheromones
---	---------------	-------------------	----------------------	--------------------

Host	Insect	Plant volatiles	Sex pheromone	Refs
Zea mays	Helicoverpa zea	(Z)-3-hexenyl acetate	(Z)-11-hexadecenal, (Z)-11-hexadecenol, (Z)-9-hexadecenal, (Z)-7-hexadecenal, hexadecanal	[78]
Zea mays	Cydia pomonella	(Z)-3-hexenyl acetate	(E,E)-8,10-dodecadienol (codlemone)	[78]
Beta vulgaris	Spodoptera exigua	Linalool, myrcene benzaldehyde	(Z,E)-9,12-tetradecadienyl acetate, (Z)-9-tetradecenol	[79]
Prunus padus	Rhopalosiphum padi	Benzaldehyde	Nepetalactol	[80]
Japanese cedar	Anaglyptus subfasciatus	Methyl phenyl acetate	( <i>R</i> )-3-hydroxy-2-hexanone,	[31]
( <i>Cryptomeria japonica</i> ), Japanese cypress ( <i>Chamaecyparis obtuse</i> )			( <i>R</i> )-3-hydroxy-2-octanone	
Brassica oleracea subsp.	Plutella xylostella	(Z)-3-hexenyl acetate,	(Z)-11-Hexadecenal, (Z)-11-hexadecenyl acetate,	[36]
capitata		(E)-2-hexenal, (Z)-3-hexenol	(Z)-11-hexadecenol	
Pine	Pissodes nemorensis	Pine bolt odors	Grandisol, grandisal	[81]
Рарауа	Toxotrypana curvicauda	Host fruit odors	2-Methyl-6-vinylpyrazine	[82]
Cotton, tobacco, tomato	Heliothis virescens	(Z)-3-Hexenyl acetate	(Z)-11-Hexadecenal, (Z)-9-tetradecenal, hexadecanal, tetradecanal	[83]

and the pheromone [a mixture of (Z)-11-hexadecenal, (Z)-11-hexadecenyl acetate, (Z)-11-hexadecenol] induced a significantly higher attractant and arresting behavior in unmated males of the diamondback moth Plutella xylostella than the pheromone alone [35]. Field baits of (Z)-3-hexenyl acetate and the pheromone enhanced the number of females caught in traps severalfold over those baited with the natural attractant alone [36]. An important question remaining to be answered refers to the specificity of plant signals. Many of the compounds listed in Tables 1 and 2 are commonly found in different host and non-host plants. Therefore, it is expected that blends of these chemicals with specific pheromone components should have a precise composition to provide the required specificity to elicit a particular behavior on the insect. In any case, we know little about how insect responses to plant stimuli aid the insect in its efforts to mate and reproduce, but it is likely that these types of synergistic interactions are insect (male or female) strategies to optimize mating opportunities.

#### Semiochemically induced repellent effect

Host location is frequently the result of chemical and/or visual cues. This implies that insects are able to detect a suitable host while in flight and also that host selection can depend on a lack of repellency. Host compounds can also have an inhibitory or repellent effect in addition to their action as primary attractants, pheromone precursors and pheromone synergists. However, in contrast to the well documented attractant or synergistic nature of many chemicals, the repellent or inhibitory effects of other semiochemicals from the host have been largely overlooked and merit further investigation. A representative example is 4-allyl anisole, a common compound produced by loblolly pine (Pinus taeda) and other conifer species, which significantly reduced the response of *D. frontalis* to their own pheromone when simultaneously released with the natural attractant in the field [37].

Non-host GLVs have also been shown to inhibit the pheromone responses of several bark beetles [38–41]. The active non-host GLVs might act as negative signals at the habitat level for conifer bark beetles when they are seeking hosts. It is more beneficial for these beetles to be able to recognize and avoid a general volatile signal that is commonly emitted by a wide range of non-host deciduous tree species than to recognize precise species-specific volatiles for each non-host species. In this way, several species of non-host trees with partially overlapping blends of common volatile compounds could be perceived and avoided during the host selection process [42]. A noteworthy example is shown by the pine shoot beetle *Tomicus* destruens (Coleoptera: Scolytidae), an important pine pest widely distributed throughout Europe. Benzyl alcohol, a semiochemical present in fennel extracts and in the callus of *Eucalyptus radiata* but completely absent from pine volatiles of leaves and twigs, induced beetles to bore a limited number of galleries when the chemical was deposited in the field on cut pine logs [43]. These results could have important implications for the control of pine shoot beetles by excluding them from potential hosts or regulating attack densities to unsuitable levels for tree colonization [43].

#### Plant volatiles released by attack of insect herbivores

The frass (solid larval insect excrement) or pheromones produced by herbivorous insects can provide predators and parasitoids with chemical signals that orient them to suitable hosts. Similarly, many parasitoids and predators orient towards plant odors, including specific chemical signals released following feeding by herbivores [44]. These compounds, which include monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, homoterpenes, aromatic compounds and GLVs, often serve as a plant defense mechanism by attracting predators and parasitoids to the attacking pest species, thereby reducing further damage to the plant. For instance, (Z)-3-hexenyl esters that are emitted by tobacco after damage were found to deter female Heliothis virescens from laying eggs on injured plants [45], and some GLVs of cabbage attract the parasitoids Trichogramma chilonis and Cotesia plutellae and the predator Chrysoperla carnea, to the diamondback moth P. xylostella, an important cabbage pest, in a similar manner to the effect of the sex pheromone [35]. The major hosts of the bark beetles I. pini and Ips grandicollis (Pinus resinosa, Pinus banksiana and Pinus strobus) contain monoterpenes as their predominant phytochemical volatiles. Although

258

monoterpenes by themselves do not attract predators, some of them significantly affect predator attraction to aggregation pheromones. This is the case for  $\alpha$ -pinene, which enhanced the attraction of some predators to the pheromone of their corresponding *Ips* prey [46]. Moreover, the predator responses can be modulated by the absolute configuration of the monoterpene, and thus (+)- $\alpha$ -pinene synergized predator responses to the pheromone of *I. pini*, whereas (-)- $\alpha$ -pinene synergized responses to the pheromone of *I. grandicollis* [46]. These results appear to confirm the proposal that the chiral specificity of bark beetle pheromones might have evolved partly as a response to predator recognition [47].

In many cases, the volatile compounds emitted from leaves as a result of insect damage allow insect parasitoids and predators to distinguish between infested and uninfested plants, and therefore help to locate hosts or prey [48]. This is the case for Lima bean (*Phaseolus lunatus*) plants and apple trees, which produce volatiles that attract predatory mites when damaged by spider mites [49], and for corn and cotton plants, which release substances when damaged that attract hymenopterous parasitoids that attack larvae of several species of Lepidoptera [50].

In all plants reported so far, there are remarkable similarities in the structure of VOCs that are emitted from insect-damaged leaves [51]. This structural uniformity suggests the activation of a common set of biosynthetic pathways shared by a wide range of plants, and that the products are detectable by a broad spectrum of insect parasitoids and predators. The ability of host-seeking insects to recognize and respond to such chemical cues and to distinguish them from background odors indicates that herbivory-injured plants emit volatiles that are clearly distinguishable from those released in response to other types of damage or those released from undamaged plants. Therefore, the plant's's ability to differentiate between herbivore damage and a general wound response suggests the presence of elicitors associated with insect feeding [51]. In many plants (e.g. cotton, tobacco), these elicitors induce the emission of higher concentrations of induced volatiles that are synthesized in response to caterpillar feeding than to mechanical damage alone. Only two oral secretion products from chewing insects have been identified so far. A  $\beta$ -glucosidase present in the regurgitant of *Pieris brassicae* caterpillars has the same effect on mechanically damaged cabbage leaves as the caterpillar feeding - the emission of a similar bouquet of volatiles and the attraction of the parasitoid wasp Cotesia glomerata [52]. The protein appears to be an elicitor of the defense response of cabbage plants to herbivory injury, inducing the emission of volatiles that are used by parasitoids of the herbivore to locate their victim. Similarly, a fatty acid derivative of glutamine, N-[17-(S)-hydroxylinolenoyl]-Lglutamine (also called volicitin) has been identified from oral secretions of beet armyworm Spodoptera exigua caterpillars that induces corn seedlings to release the same blend of volatile terpenoids and indole as when they are damaged by caterpillar feeding [53]. This blend of chemicals attracts females of the parasitic wasp Cotesia marginiventris, natural enemies of the armyworm caterpillars, to the damaged corn plants.

Although larval feeding is known to elicit VOCs in many plants, herbivore oviposition has only recently been shown to induce VOCs as an indirect defense mechanism. In elm, oviposition by the elm leaf beetle Xanthogaleruca luteola (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) induces the release of volatiles that are attractive to the egg parasitoid Oomyzus gallerucae [54]. Neither artificial damage nor damage by feeding of non-ovipositing elm leaf beetles induces elm leaves to produce volatiles that attract the parasitoid. The nature of the elicitor has not been identified but jasmonic acid (JA), a known mediator of plant responses induced by feeding of herbivorous insects [44], has been demonstrated to mediate production of chemicals that attract the egg parasitoid [54]. A novel type of indirect defense response in peas has been elicited by bruchins, long chain diols esterified as 3-hydroxypropanoates. These compounds are found in pea and cowpea weevils, and elicit neoplastic growth at the oviposition site in certain genotypes of peas [55]. The neoplastic growth expels the recently hatched larvae out of the oviposition site and forces them to reburrow into the pea pod. The young larvae are then exposed to predators, parasites and desiccation.

The North America tobacco plant Nicotiana attenuata shows a massive metabolic commitment to nicotine production in response to herbivorous attack, particularly from the specialized tobacco hornworm Manduca sexta. The nicotine is produced and distributed throughout the plant in a manner that optimizes plant fitness [56]. Nicotine is one of the most broadly effective plant defense metabolites known because it poisons acetylcholine receptors and is thus toxic to most heterotrophic organisms with neuromuscular junctions. When attacked by a nicotinetolerant insect, the plant 'recognizes' the attack, as evidenced by an endogenous JA burst that is propagated through the damaged leaf ahead of the rapidly foraging herbivore [57]. JA treatment dramatically increases direct defenses, including the production of toxins (e.g. nicotine, phenolics, flavonoids), antidigestive proteins (e.g. proteinase inhibitors) and antinutritive enzymes (e.g. polyphenol oxidases), and, in addition, the plant emits a range of VOCs as an indirect defense [58]. Nicotine is biosynthesized from the polyamine putrescine, and putrescine N-methyltransferase (PMT) catalyzes the N-methylation of putrescine in the first, probably regulatory, step of nicotine biosynthesis. The wound-induced transcription of two N. attenuata PMT genes (NaPMT1 and NaPMT2) is suppressed by the attack of Manduca larvae but the release of VOCs is maintained [59]. Accumulation of nicotine is also suppressed and the defense process is mediated by a dramatic burst of ethylene. Ethylene directly suppresses biosynthesis of nicotine, reduces the fitness cost of JA-induced resistance and optimizes resource allocation [60].

Herbivore-induced indirect defenses are not only a laboratory phenomenon. A recent study in nature on *N. attenuata* plants growing in natural conditions demonstrated that the VOCs *cis*-3-hexenol, linalool and *cis*- $\alpha$ -bergamatene increased egg predation rates by a generalist predator, whereas linalool and the complete blend of VOCs decreased lepidopteran oviposition rates. As a result, the release of VOCs, which mediates both effects (predator attraction and oviposition avoidance), is estimated to reduce potential herbivore attacks by more than 90% [61].

#### Conclusions

The behavior of herbivorous insects is often integrated with their host plants in a range of ways. This integration can be apparent from the effects induced by host plants on insect physiology and behavior, including reproduction, and by the plant defense responses to an attacking insect. Particularly important are the effects of host plants on pheromone behavior, which appear to be part of male strategies (to maximize encounters with females) as well as female strategies (to gain access to new feeding and oviposition sites). The enhancement of sex attraction induced by host odors suggests that more effective traps can be devised for the management of insect pests. Lures based solely on synthetic pheromones are unlikely to be fully competitive with signals emanating from food or plants. Based on this strategy, the development of new lures for the Japanese beetle [62], the dried-fruit beetle Carpophilus lugubris [63], the palm weevil R. phoenicis [64] and several species of bark beetles [65] is worthy of note. Moreover, it has been suggested that mating disruption dispensers could be developed for certain moth species using small amounts of expensive active pheromonal ingredients by adding small amounts of selected inexpensive plant volatiles to the pheromone blend [66]. However, to our knowledge, this possibility has not yet been experimentally proved. In addition, the synergism between insect pheromones and plant odors can increase the attraction of natural enemies, offering new strategies for biological control. New research in this area will shed light on this and other issues relevant for the development of more effective and reliable pest control programs.

#### Acknowledgements

We apologize for not including all pertinent references because of space constraints. We thank Ross Miller (University of Guam, USA) and Wittko Francke (University of Hamburg, Germany) for helpful comments on a previous version of the manuscript. We gratefully acknowledge CICYT for financial support (AGL2000–1695-C02–01).

#### References

- 1 Landolt, P.J. and Phillips, T.W. (1997) Host plant influences on sex pheromone behavior of phytophagous insects. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 42, 371–391
- 2 Nishida, R. (2002) Sequestration of defensive substances from plants by Lepidoptera. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 47, 57–92
- 3 Conner, W.F. et al. (1990) Courtship pheromone production and body size as correlates of larval diet in males of the arctiid moth, Utethisa ornatrix. J. Chem. Ecol. 16, 543-552
- 4 Eisner, T. and Meinwald, J. (1995) The chemistry of sexual selection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 92, 50-55
- 5 Hartmann, T. (1999) Chemical ecology of pyrrolizidine alkaloids. Planta 207, 483–495
- 6 Lindigkeit, R. *et al.* (1997) The two faces of pyrrolizidine alkaloids: the role of the tertiary amine and its N-oxide in chemical defense of insects with acquired plant alkaloids. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 245, 626–636
- 7 Winter, C.K. and Segall, H.J. (1989) Metabolism of pyrrolizidine alkaloids. In *Toxicants of Plant Origin* (Vol. 1) (Cheeke, P.R., ed.), pp. 23-40, CRS Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA
- 8 Naumann, C. et al. (2002) Evolutionary recruitment of a flavindependent monooxygenase for the toxification of host-plant acquired

pyrrolizidine alkaloids in the alkaloid-defended arctiid moth Tyria jacobaeae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 99, 6085-6090

- 9 Krasnoff, S.B. and Dussourd, D.E. (1989) Dihydropyrrolizine attractants for arctiid moths that visit plants containing pyrrolizidine alkaloids. J. Chem. Ecol. 15, 47–60
- 10 Schulz, S. (1998) Insect-plant interactions. Metabolism of plant compounds to pheromones and allomones by Lepidoptera and leaf beetles. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 13–20
- 11 Hartmann, T. *et al.* (2003) Are insect-synthesized retronecine esters (creatonotines) the precursors of the male courtship pheromone in the arctiid moth *Estigmene acrea? J. Chem. Ecol.* 29, 2603–2608
- 12 Dressler, R.L. (1982) Biology of the orchid bees (Euglossini). Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 13, 373–394
- 13 Raina, A.K. *et al.* (1992) Chemical signals from host plant and sexual behavior in a moth. *Science* 255, 592–594
- 14 Byers, J.A. (1981) Pheromone biosynthesis in the bark beetle, *Ips paraconfusus*, during feeding or exposure to vapors of host plant precursors. *Insect Biochem.* 11, 563-569
- 15 Hunt, D.W.A. et al. (1986) Sex-specific production of ipsdienol and myrcenol by *Dendroctonus ponderosae* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) exposed to myrcene vapors. J. Chem. Ecol. 12, 1579–1586
- 16 Renwick, J.A.A. et al. (1976) Selective production of cis and transverbenol from (–)- and (+)- $\alpha$ -pinene by a bark beetle. Science 191, 199–201
- 17 Feyereisen, R. (1999) Insect P450 enzymes. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 44, 507–533
- 18 Seybold, S.J. et al. (1995) De novo biosynthesis of the aggregation pheromone components ipsenol and ipsdienol by the pine bark beetles Ips paraconfusus Lanier and Ips pini (Say) (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 92, 8393-8397
- 19 Tillman, J.A. et al. (1998) Endocrine regulation of the novo aggregation pheromone biosynthesis in the pine engraver *Ips pini* (Say) (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 28, 705–715
- 20 Barkawi, L.S. et al. (2003) Frontalin: de novo biosynthesis of an aggregation pheromone component by Dendroctonus spp. bark beetles (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 33, 773-788
- 21 Ivarsson, P. and Birgersson, G. (1995) Regulation and biosynthesis of pheromone components in the double spined bark beetle *Ips duplicatus* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). J. Insect Physiol. 41, 833-849
- 22 Seybold, S.J. and Tittiger, C. (2003) Biochemistry and molecular biology of *de novo* isoprenoid pheromone production in the Scolytidae. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 48, 425–453
- 23 Tittiger, C. et al. (2003) Structure and juvenile hormone-mediated regulation of the HMG-CoA reductase gene from the Jeffrey pine beetle, Dendroctonus jeffreyi. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 199, 11-21
- 24 Goldstein, J.L. and Brown, M.S. (1990) Regulation of the mevalonate pathway. *Nature* 343, 425–430
- 25 Dickens, J.C. (1989) Green leaf volatiles enhance aggregation pheromone of the boll weevil Anthonomus grandis. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 52, 191-203
- 26 Jaffe, K. et al. (1993) Chemical ecology of the palm weevil Rhynchophorus palmarum (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae): attraction to host plants and to a male-produced aggregation pheromone. J. Chem. Ecol. 19, 1703–1720
- 27 Rochat, D. et al. (2000) Identification of pheromone synergists in American weevil, Rhynchophorus palmarus, and attraction of related Dynamis borassi. J. Chem. Ecol. 26, 155-187
- 28 McNeil, J.N. and Delisle, J. (1989) Host plant pollen influences calling behavior and ovarian development of the sunflower moth, *Homeosoma* electellum. Oecologia 80, 201–205
- 29 Hendrikse, A. and Vos-Bunnemyer, E. (1987) Role of host plant stimuli in sexual behavior of small ermine moths (*Yponomeuta*). Ecol. Entomol. 12, 363-371
- 30 Landolt, P.J. and Heath, R.R. (1990) Sexual role reversal in matefinding strategies of the cabbage looper moth. *Science* 249, 1026–1028
- 31 Nakamuta, K. et al. (1997) Increase of trap catches by a combination of male sex pheromones and floral attractant in longhorn beetle, Anaglyptus subfasciatus. J. Chem. Ecol. 23, 1635-1640
- 32 Erbilgin, N. and Raffa, K.F. (2000) Effects of host tree species on attractiveness of tunnelling pine engravers, *Ips pini* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae), to conspecifics and insect predators. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 26, 823–840
- 33 Renwick, J.A.A. and Vité, J.P. (1969) Bark beetle attractants:

mechanism of colonization by *Dendroctonus frontalis*. Nature 224, 1222–1223

- 34 Reinecke, A. *et al.* (2002) The scent of food and defense: green leaf volatiles and toluquinone as sex attractant mediate mate finding in the European cockchafer *Melolontha melolontha. Ecol. Lett.* 5, 257–263
- 35 Reddy, G.V.P. et al. (2002) Olfactory responses of Plutella xylostella natural enemies to host pheromone, larval frass, and green leaf volatiles. J. Chem. Ecol. 28, 131–143
- 36 Reddy, G.V.P. and Guerrero, A. (2000) Behavioral responses of the diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella*, to green leaf volatiles of *Brassica oleracea* subsp. *capitata*. J. Agric. Food Chem. 48, 6025–6029
- 37 Hayes, J.L. et al. (1994) Repellent properties of the host compound 4-allylanisole to the southern pine beetle. J. Chem. Ecol. 20, 1595-1615
- 38 Dickens, J.C. et al. (1992) Green leaf volatiles interrupt aggregation pheromone response in bark infesting pines. Experientia 48, 523-524
- 39 De Groot, P. and MacDonald, L.M. (1999) Green leaf volatiles inhibit response of red pine cone beetle *Conophthorus resinosae* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) to a sex pheromone. *Naturwissenschaften* 86, 81–85
- 40 Poland, T.M. and Haack, R.A. (2000) Pine shoot beetle, *Tomicus piniperda* (Col., Scolytidae), responses to common green leaf volatiles. J. Appl. Entomol. 124, 63–69
- 41 Huber, D.P.W. and Borden, J.H. (2001) Angiosperm bark volatiles disrupt response of Douglas-fir beetle, *Dendroctonus pseudotsugae*, to attractant-baited traps. J. Chem. Ecol. 27, 217-233
- 42 Poland, T.M. et al. (1998) Green leaf volatiles disrupt responses by the spruce beetle, *Dendroctonus rufipennis*, and the western pine beetle, *Dendroctonus brevicomis* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) to attractant-baited traps. J. Entomol. Soc. B.C. 95, 17–24
- 43 Guerrero, A. et al. (1997) Semiochemically induced inhibition of behaviour of Tomicus destruens (Woll.) (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). Naturwissenschaften 84, 155-157
- 44 Thaler, J.S. (1999) Jasmonate-inducible plant defenses cause increased parasitism of herbivores. *Nature* 339, 686–688
- 45 De Moraes, C.M. *et al.* (2001) Caterpillar-induced nocturnal plant volatiles repel non-specific females. *Nature* 410, 577–580
- 46 Erbilgin, N. and Raffa, K.F. (2001) Modulation of predator attraction to pheromones of two prey species by stereochemistry of plant volatiles. *Oecologia* 127, 444–453
- 47 Raffa, K.F. and Klepzig, K.D. (1989) Chiral escape of bark beetles from predators responding to a bark beetle pheromone. *Oecologia* 80, 566–569
- 48 Agrawal, A.A. (2000) Mechanisms, ecological consequences and agricultural implications of tri-trophic interactions. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 3, 329–335
- 49 Takabayashi, J. and Dicke, M. (1996) Plant-carnivore mutualism through herbivore-induced carnivore attractants. *Trends Plant Sci.* 1, 109–113
- 50 Tumlinson, J.H.  $et\,al.\,(1993)$  How parasitic wasps find their hosts. Sci. Am. 268, 100–106
- 51 Paré, P.W. and Tumlinson, J.H. (1999) Plant volatiles as a defense against insect herbivores. *Plant Physiol.* 121, 325–331
- 52 Mattiacci, L. *et al.* (1995)  $\beta$ -Glucosidase: an elicitor of herbivoreinduced plant odor that attracts host-searching parasitic wasps. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 92, 2036–2040
- 53 Alborn, H.T. et al. (1997) An elicitor of plant volatiles from beet armyworm oral secretion. Science 276, 945-949
- 54 Meiners, T. and Hilker, M. (2000) Induction of plant synomones by oviposition of a phytophagous insect. J. Chem. Ecol. 26, 221-232
- 55 Doss, R.P. et al. (2000) Bruchins: insect-derived plant regulators that stimulate neoplasm formation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 97, 6218-6223
- 56 Baldwin, I.T. et al. (2001) Merging molecular and ecological approaches in plant-insect interactions. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 4, 351–358
- 57 Schittko, U. et al. (2000) Eating the evidence? Manduca sexta can not disrupt specific jasmonate induction in Nicotiana attenuata by rapid consumption. Planta 210, 343–346
- 58 Baldwin, I.T. (2001) An ecologically motivated analysis of plantherbivore interactions in native tobacco. *Plant Physiol.* 127, 1449-1458
- 59 Kahl, H. et al. (2000) Herbivore-induced ethylene suppresses a direct

defense but not a putative indirect defense against an adapted herbivore. Planta 210,  $336{-}342$ 

- 60 Voelckel, C. et al. (2001) Herbivore-induced ethylene burst reduces fitness costs of jasmonate- and oral secretion-induced defenses in Nicotiana attenuata. Oecologia 127, 274–280
- 61 Kessler, A. and Baldwin, I.T. (2001) Defensive function of herbivory-induced plant volatile emissions in nature. Science 291, 2141-2144
- 62 Klein, M.G. et al. (1981) Japanese beetle (Coleoptera: Scarabeidae): response to synthetic sex attractants plus phenethyl:eugenol. J. Chem. Ecol. 7, 1-7
- 63 Lin, H.L.P. et al. (1992) Synergism between synthetic food odors and the aggregation pheromone for attracting Carpophilus lugubris in the field (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae). Environ. Entomol. 21, 156-159
- 64 Gries, G. et al. (1994) Ethyl propionate: synergistic kairomone for African palm weevil, *Rhynchophorus phoenicis* L. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). J. Chem. Ecol. 20, 889–897
- 65 Borden, J.H. *et al.* (1980) Ethanol and  $\alpha$ -pinene as synergists for the aggregation pheromones of two *Gnathotrichus* species. *Can. J. Forest* Res. 10, 290–292
- 66 Ochieng, S.A. et al. (2002) Host plant volatiles synergize responses of sex pheromone-specific olfactory receptor neurons in male *Helicoverpa* zea. J. Comp. Physiol. A 188, 325–333
- 67 Giblin-Davis, R.M. et al. (1996) Optimization of semiochemical-based trapping of Metamasius hemipterus sericeus (Olivier) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). J. Chem. Ecol. 22, 1389–1410
- 68 Dowd, P.F. and Bartelt, R.J. (1991) Host-derived volatiles as attractants and pheromone synergists for driedfruit beetle, *Carpophilus hemipterus. J. Chem. Ecol.* 17, 285–308
- 69 Phillips, T.W. et al. (1993) Behavioral responses to food volatiles by two species of stored-product Coleoptera, Sitophilus oryzae (Curculionidae) and Tribolium castaneum (Tenebrionidae). J. Chem. Ecol. 19, 723–734
- 70 Rochat, D. et al. (1993) Chemical ecology of palm weevils, Rhynchophorus spp. (Coleoptera). Oleagineux Paris 48, 225–236
- 71 Giblin-Davis, R.M. et al. (1994) Field response of Rhychophorus cruentatus (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) to its aggregation pheromone and fermenting plant volatiles. Florida Entomologist 77, 164-177
- 72 Bartelt, R.J. et al. (1993) Male produced aggregation pheromone of Carpophilus freemani (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae). J. Chem. Ecol. 19, 107-118
- 73 Petroski, R.J. et al. (1994) Male-produced aggregation pheromone of Carpophilus obsoletus (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae). J. Chem. Ecol. 20, 1483–1493
- 74 Hallett, R.H. et al. (1999) Pheromone trapping protocols for the Asian palm weevil, *Rhynchophorus ferrugineus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Int. J. Pest Manage*. 45, 231–237
- 75 Sudharto, P.S. et al. (2000) Synergy between empty oil palm fruit bunches and synthetic aggregation pheromone (ethyl 4-methyloctanoate) for mass trapping of Oryctes rhinoceros beetles in the oil palm plantations in Indonesia. In Cutting Edge Technologies for Sustained Competitiveness: Proceedings of the 2001 PIPOC International Palm Oil Congress, pp. 661–664, Malaysian Palm Oil Board, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
- 76 Muniappan, R. et al. Field response of the two geographical isolates of New Guinea sugarcane weevil, *Rhabdoscelus obscurus* (Boisduval) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) to their aggregation pheromone and host volatiles in Guam. *Micronesica* (in press).
- 77 Bartelt, R.J. et al. (1988) Aggregation pheromones in Drosophila borealis and Drosophila littoralis. J. Chem. Ecol. 14, 1319–1327
- 78 Light, D.M. *et al.* (1993) Host-plant green volatiles synergize the synthetic sex pheromones of the corn earworm and codling moth (Lepidoptera). *Chemoecology* 4, 145–152
- 79 Dickens, J.C. et al. (1993) Detection and deactivation of pheromone and plant odor components by the beet armyworm, Spodoptera exigua (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). J. Insect Physiol. 39, 503–516
- 80 Hardie, J. et al. (1994) The interaction of sex pheromone and plant volatiles for field attraction of male bird-cherry aphid, *Rhopalosiphum* padi. In Proceedings – Brighton Crop Protection Conference, Pests and Diseases (Vol. 3), pp. 1223–1230, BCPC Publications, Bracknell, UK
- 81 Phillips, T.W. et al. (1984) Aggregation pheromone of the deodar weevil, Pissodes nemorensis (Coleoptera: Curculionidae): isolation and activity of grandisol and grandisal. J. Chem. Ecol. 10, 1417–1423

Review

- 82 Landolt, P.J. *et al.* (1992) Attraction of female papaya fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) to male pheromone and host fruit. *Environ. Entomol.* 21, 1154–1159
- 83 Dickens, J.C. et al. (1993) Green leaf volatiles enhance sex attractant pheromone of the tobacco budworm, *Heliothis virescens* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Chemoecology 4, 175–177
- 84 Conner, W.F. et al. (1981) Precopulatory sexual interaction in an arctiid moth (Utetheisa ornatrix): role of a pheromone derived from dietary alkaloids. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 9, 227–235
- 85 Seybold, S.J. et al. (2000) The biosynthesis of coniferophagous bark beetle pheromones and conifer isoprenoids: evolutionary perspective and synthesis. Can. Entomol. 132, 697-753

#### Letters to Trends in Plant Science

If you wish to comment on an article recently published in *Trends in Plant Science* or would like to discuss issues of general current interest to plant scientists, please write a Letter to the Editor. Letters should be **no more than 750 words long with a maximum of 12** references and one small figure. Letters should be e-mailed to plants@current-trends.com.

The decision to publish rests with the Editor, and the author(s) of any *Trends in Plant Science* article criticized in a Letter will normally be invited to reply.

## Free journals for developing countries

The World Health Organisation and six medical journal publishers have launched the Access to Research initiative, which enables ~70 developing countries to gain free access to biomedical literature through the Internet.

The science publishers, Blackwell, Elsevier, the Harcourt Worldwide STM group, Wolters Kluwer International Health and Science, Springer-Verlag and John Wiley, were approached by the WHO and the *British Medical Journal* in 2001. Initially, >1000 journals will be available for free or at significantly reduced prices to universities, medical schools, research and public institutions in developing countries. The second stage involves extending this initiative to institutions in other countries.

Gro Harlem Brundtland, director-general for the WHO, said that this initiative was 'perhaps the biggest step ever taken towards reducing the health information gap between rich and poor countries'.

#### See http://www.healthinternetwork.net for more information.