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Keilin’s Respiratory Chain Concept and Its Chemiosmotic Consequences

Peter Mitchell

Although I had hoped that the chemiosmotic rationale of vectorial metabolism and biological energy transfer might one day come to be generally accepted, it would have been presumptuous of me to expect it to happen. Was it not Max Planck (1) who remarked that a new scientific idea does not triumph by convincing its opponents, but rather because its opponents eventually die? The fact that what began as the chemiosmotic hypothesis has now been acclaimed as the chemiosmotic theory—at the physiological level, even if not at the biochemical level—has therefore both astonished and delighted me, particularly because those who were formerly my most capable opponents are still in the prime of their scientific lives.

In this article I shall explain the difference between the physiological and the biochemical levels at which the chemiosmotic theory has helped to promote useful experimental research. But let me first acknowledge the creative work and benevolent influence of the late David Keilin, one of the greatest of biochemists and—to me, at least—the kindlest of men, whose studies of the cytochrome system, in animals, plants, and microorganisms (2), led to the original fundamental idea of aerobic energy metabolism: the concept of the respiratory chain (3–5). Perhaps the most fruitful (and surprising) outcome of the development of the notion of chemiosmotic reactions is the guidance it has provided in work designed to answer three questions about respiratory chain systems and analogous photoredox chain systems: What is it? What does it do? How does it do it? The genius of Keilin led to the revolution of the importance of these questions. In this article, I hope to show that, as a result of the painstaking work of many biochemists, we can now answer the first two in general principle, and that considerable progress is being made in answering the third.

I have chosen to review here the evolution of the chemiosmotic theory from fundamental biochemical and physicochemical concepts in three perspectives: (i) a middle-distance physiological-cum-biochemical perspective; (ii) a longer physicochemical view; and (iii) a biochemical close-up. These perspectives involve general considerations of biochemical theory and knowledge; space is not available for discussion of experimental procedures.

Physiological-cum-Biochemical Perspective

Oxidative and photosynthetic phosphorylation. Between 1940 and 1960, the mechanism of oxidative phosphorylation (by which some 95 percent of the energy of aerobic organisms is obtained), and the basically similar mechanism of photosynthetic phosphorylation (by which much of the energy available from plant products is initially harvested from the sun) was recognized as one of the great unsolved problems of biochemistry. At the beginning of this period, the work of Keilin (2, 3) on the cytochrome system, and work by Warburg, Wieland, and others on the respiratory hydrogen carriers, had already led to the concept of the respiratory chain: a water-insoluble complex of redox carriers, operating serially between the reducing substrates or coenzymes and molecular oxygen (4, 5).

According to Keilin’s concept of the respiratory chain, the respiratory-chain carriers (or their complexes of molecular dimensions) were involved chemically only in redox reactions (Fig. 1). However, after the pioneer work of Kalckar (6), Belitsner and Tsybakova (7), Ochoa (8), Lipmann (9, 10), Friedkin and Lehninger (11), and Arnon et al. (12), attention was focused on the mechanism by which the redox process was coupled to the phosphorylation of adenosine diphosphate (ADP) in respiratory and photoredox metabolism, it was natural for investigators to use substrate-level phosphorylation as the biochemical model, and to assume that the mechanism of coupling between oxidation and phosphorylation in respiratory and photoredox chains would likewise be explained in terms of the classically scalar idiom of metabolic enzymology (13).

In 1953, the general chemical coupling hypothesis (Fig. 2) was given formal expression in a historically important paper by Slater (14), which defined the reactions of the energy-rich intermediates at several coupling sites along the mitochondrial respiratory chain. Accordingly, many investigators made it their prime objective to identify the energy-rich intermediates or other coupling factors supposed to be responsible for coupling oxidoreduction to phosphorylation in redox chain systems (14–20). This development caused Keilin’s chemically simple concept of the respiratory chain to be almost universally rejected in favor of a chemically duplex concept according to which respiratory chain components participated directly, not only in the known redox changes, but also in other chemical changes involving the energy-rich intermediates—just as the phosphorylating glycolaldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase is involved in both oxidative and phosphorylative reactions.

By 1965, the field of oxidative phosphorylation was littered with the smouldering conceptual remains of numerous exploded energy-rich chemical intermediates. The remarkable uncoupling action of 2,4-dinitrophenole and of other chemically unrelated reagents, and of physical membrane-lytic treatments, remained obscure; and the process of hypothesis-building, needed to keep faith with the chemical-coupling notion, reached such fantastic proportions as to be hardly intelligible to those outside the field (21–23). Nevertheless, the quest for the energy-rich intermediates continued through the 1960’s and persisted into the 1970’s with only a minor broadening of the conception of the type of coupling mechanism favored by many of the metabolic enzymologists (24–33). This conceptual broadening, stemmed from ingenious suggestions by Boyer, Chance, Ernster, Green, Slater, Williams, and others (see [26, 29, 34]). As indicated by Fig. 3, these workers as-
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sumed that coupling may be achieved through a direct conformational or other non-osmotic physical or chemical interaction—that might, for example, involve protons as a localized anhydrous chemical intermediate (17, 35-37), or might involve electrical interaction (31)—between the redox carrier proteins and certain catalytic components associated with adenosine triphosphate (ATP) synthesis in the supposedly duplex respiratory chain system, often described as the "phosphorylating respiratory chain."

Soon after 1950, it began to be recognized that the water-insoluble property of preparations of respiratory chain and photoredox chain complexes was related to the circumstance that, in their native state, these complexes were part of the lipid membrane system of bacteria, mitochondria, and chloroplasts. But, such was the lack of liaison between the students of transport and the students of metabolism, that the significance of this fact for the field of oxidative and photosynthetic phosphorylation was not appreciated, despite suggestive clues provided, for example, by Lundegardh (38), Robertson and Wilkins (39), Ussing (40), Davies and Ogston (41), Conway (42), and me (43). These clues suggested that some osmotic type of protonic coupling mechanism might be feasible (44-46). It was in this context that I began to take an active outsider's interest in this fundamental problem of energy metabolism in the 1950's (and occasionally talked to David Keilin about it), while I was mainly engaged in trying to develop general principles of coupling between metabolism and transport, by means of the biochemical concepts of chemiosmotic group-translocation reactions and vectorial metabolism (43, 47-54). I shall define these concepts more fully later. For the moment, suffice it to remark that it was these essentially biochemical concepts (50, 51, 55-65), and not my relatively subsidiary interest in energy metabolism, that led me to formulate the coupling hypothesis (Fig. 4) which came to be known as the chemiosmotic hypothesis. As it happened, the main protonotive adenosine triphosphatase (ATPase) principle of this hypothesis was first outlined at an international meeting held in Stockholm in 1960 (51). My motivation was simply a strategic conjectural one. There was a chance worth exploring that the chemiosmotic rationale might provide a generally acceptable conceptual framework in the field of membrane bioenergetics and oxidative phosphorylation, and that, if so, it might encourage more adventurous and successful interdisciplinary research through a topologically closed insulating membrane between two proton-conducting aqueous media at different protonic potential. Thus, coupling may occur, not by direct chemical or physical contact between the redox and reversible ATPase systems, but by the flow of proticity around an aqueous circuit connecting them. I use the word proticity for the force and flow of the proton current by analogy with the word electricity, which describes the force and flow of an electron current (66, 68). However, the total protonic potential difference $\Delta \rho$ has both electric ($\Delta \phi$) and chemical activity ($\Delta p\text{H}$) components, according to the equation:

$$\Delta \rho = \Delta \phi - Z\Delta p\text{H}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $Z$ is the conventional factor of 2.303 $RT/F$, which is near 60 at 25°C, when the potentials are expressed in millivolts (21, 22, 69).

To promote experimental research programs designed to test, and if possible to falsify, the physiological-level chemiosmotic coupling concept, it was explicitly and unambiguously formulated (21, 22) in terms of four fundamental postulates, corresponding to the four structural and functional systems represented in Fig. 4.

1) The ATP synthase is a chemiosmotic membrane-located reversible ATPase, having characteristic $\text{H}^+/\text{P}$ stoichiometry.

2) Respiratory and photoredox chains are chemiosmotic membrane-located systems, having characteristic $\text{H}^+/2\text{e}^-$

Fig. 2 (left). Phosphorylating respiratory chain: Chemical coupling hypothesis. $A - I$ and $X = I$ represent hypothetical high-energy chemical intermediates; and the symbol $\sim$ represents the so-called high-energy bond. The left-hand portion of the diagram represents the duplex oxidoreduction-to-phosphorylation system.

Fig. 3 (right). Phosphorylating respiratory chain: Local interaction coupling hypothesis. The symbol $\sim$ represents a localized high-energy chemical intermediary or physical state. The left-hand portion of the diagram represents the duplex oxidoreduction-to-phosphorylation system.
stoichiometry, and having the same polarity of proton translocation across the membrane for normal forward redox activity as the ATPase has for ATP hydrolysis.

3) There are proton-linked (or hydroxyl-ion-linked) solute porter systems for osmotic stabilization and metabolite transport.

4) Systems 1 to 3 are plugged through a topologically closed insulating membrane, called the coupling membrane, that has a nonaqueous osmotic barrier phase of low permeability to solutes in general and to hydrogen ions and hydroxyl ions in particular. This is the crista membrane of mitochondria, the thylakoid membrane of chloroplasts, and the plasma membrane of bacteria.

These postulates were almost entirely hypothetical and experimentally unexplored when they were given as the basis of the chemiosmotic hypothesis in 1961. My original guesses for the $\Delta H^+/P$ and $\Delta H^+/O$ stoichiometries, and for the protonmotive polarity across the membrane (21), required revision in the light of early experiments (56, 70). Figure 5 represents the correct polarities, and what I think are probably the correct protonmotive stoichiometries: (A) for the mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation system (not including the porters); and (B) for the chloroplast noncyclic photosynthesis system. No change of fundamental principle was, however, involved; and the postulates, represented by Figs. 4 and 5, have now survived 17 years of intensive scrutiny (28, 37, 66, 71-82). The progress of research on oxidative and photosynthetic phosphorylation became much faster as soon as the molecular complexes represented by each of the four postulates began to be treated as biochemically separate systems (Fig. 4) that could best be studied individually—as in the laboratories of Jagendorf (83), Racker (84), Witt (85), Chappell (86), and Skulachev (87)—instead of being mixed up in the so-called "phosphorylating respiratory chain" or its photosynthetic analog.

The physiological-level concept of chemiosmotic coupling includes, not only the general principle of transformation of redox energy to phosphorylation energy, but also the principle of transmission of power from the redox complexes plugged through the membrane at one point to the reversible ATPase and other protonicity-consuming complexes plugged through the membrane anywhere else (65, 66). This circumstance has helped to place the mechanism of oxidative and photosynthetic phosphorylation in perspective in the broader field of membrane bioenergetics and general physiology—with particularly striking results for our understanding of microbial membrane transport and related processes [see (75, 88-90)].

The four postulates, representing the four systems with characteristic properties, are now widely regarded as experimentally established facts. Thus, we appear to have answered the question: What does it do? The plug-through respiratory chain and photoredox chain complexes generate protonicity across the coupling membrane, energizing the aqueous conductors on either side, so that the power can be drawn off by other plug-through complexes, such as the reversible protonmotive ATPase. However, this acceptance of the chemiosmotic coupling concept at the physiological level is without prejudice to the possible biochemical mechanisms of the protonmotive ATPase and redox complexes, and fixes their relative but not their absolute protonmotive stoichiometries (28, 73).

The other conceptual level at which the chemiosmotic rationale has helped to promote useful experimental research is essentially biochemical. It concerns the functional stoichiometries, the molecular topologies, and the molecular mechanisms of the protonmotive ATPase, the redox (or photoredox) complexes, and the porter complexes of postulates 1, 2, and 3.

It was my opinion that the biochemical content and value of the chemiosmotic rationale depended on the feasibility of protonmotive chemiosmotic reaction mechanisms of the direct group-translocation type, exemplified by the redox loop and the hydrodehydration loop (22, 23, 57, 58), which are relatively orthodox biochemically, and require little more than the addition of a spatial dimension of Lipmann's concept of chemical group potential (9, 10, 91). Had this not been so, I would not have thought it worth fostering the chemiosmotic hypothesis, as I shall now proceed to explain.

The coupling of metabolism and transport: Vectorial metabolism. When I first began to do biophysical and biochemical research, Jim Danielli was my research supervisor. He exposed me to the techniques and concepts of the membraneologists and students of transport, while the general outlook of the Cambridge Biochemistry Department, in which we were working, was that of classical homogeneous-solution metabolic enzymology. Intermediately was the position of David Keilin, at the Molteno Institute, with his studies of the insoluble cytochrome system and associated components making up the respiratory chain. I was impressed by the great divergence of outlook, and even mutual antagonism, between the students of membranes and transport on the one hand, and the students of metabolic enzymology on the other hand—and I soon determined to try to understand both points of view in the hope that they might be brought together.

About 7 years elapsed before I had become a microbiologist and was involved: first, in studies of a functional aspect of the plasma membrane of bacteria, which I called the osmotic barrier (92); and soon after, in studies of the specific uptake and exchange of inorganic phosphate and arsenate through a catalytic system present in the osmotic barrier of staphylococci (42, 52). This enabled me to give my full attention to the functional and conceptual relationships between chemical and osmotic reactions. The remarkably high specificity of the phosphate translocation reaction in staphylococci, its susceptibility to specific inhibitors including SH-reactors, its high entropy of activation, which indicated a large conformational change in the translocator system, and the tight coupling of phosphate translocation against arsenate translocation that I observed—as in the phenomenon of exchange-diffusion previously described by Ussing (93)—indicated how closely osmotic translocation reactions could resemble (or could be functionally related to) enzyme-catalyzed group-transfer reactions (47-49). Further, my observation with Jennifer Moyle, that the plasma membrane material isolated from staphylococci contained the cytochrome system and associated enzyme activities (47, 52), suggested that one should generalize Lundegardh's idea of vectorial electron translocation through the cytochrome

![Fig. 4. Chemiosmotic hypothesis: Physiological level.](image-url)
system (38). Thus, from this work, and from related observations and ideas (9, 39, 41, 42, 94, 95), I surmised that certain of the group-transfer reactions, catalyzed by the enzyme and catalytic carrier systems in the bacterial plasma membrane, might actually be vectorial group-translocation reactions, because of the anisotropic topological arrangement and specific conformational mobility of the catalytic systems. These, then were the circumstances that led me to remark at a symposium in 1953: "... in complex biochemical systems, such as those carrying out oxidative phosphorylation (e.g. Slater & Cleland, 1953) [see (93)] the osmotic and enzymic specifications appear to be equally important and may be practically synonymous" (43).

The general idea that I had in mind in the mid-1950's (see Fig. 6) was that of substrate-specific conformationally mobile enzyme and catalytic carrier systems catalyzing the translocation, not only of solutes, but also of chemical groups. Thus, by the spatial extension of the group-potential concept of Lipmann (9), transport could be conceived biochemically as being directly driven by the real vectorial forces of metabolic group-potential gradients. Hence the concepts of what I called chemiosmotic reactions and vectorial metabolism—bringing together transport and metabolism into one and the same chemiosmotic molecular-level biochemical process catalyzed by group-conducting or conformationally mobile group-translocating enzyme systems (48, 49). This led directly to the explicit concept of energetic coupling through enzyme-catalyzed group translocation, as described in two papers by Moyle and me in 1958 (53, 54). Figure 7, from this work, represents a hypothetical example in which phosphoryl is conducted from ATP on the left to a substrate S on the right.

Mechanistically, the group translocation or conduction concept was a development of the idea, put forward by Pauling in 1950 (65), that enzymic catalysis depends on tight binding of the transition-state complex rather than of reactants and resultants. As we pointed out (54), Pauling’s idea required only a small adjustment to adapt it to the notion that the active center regions of certain enzymes (and of certain catalytic carriers, such as cytochromes) may be conceived, not simply as specific group-binding centers, which would tend to lock in the transition state, but rather as specific group-conducting devices that facilitate the passage of chemical groups through a region of the catalytic complex between separate domains that specifically interact with the group-donor and group-acceptor species.

It was, of course, realized that the chemiosmotically effect of group translocation—or group conduction, as I now prefer to call it—would not be manifested unless the enzyme or catalytic carrier molecules were inhomogeneously organized in space according to either of two main topological principles. According to one topological principle, the organization could be at the macroscopic level in a membrane, thus giving rise to macroscopic chemiosmotic processes of

---

Fig. 5. (A) Oxidative phosphorylation and (B) photosynthetic phosphorylation coupled by pro- ticity. (After Mitchell (23))

Fig. 6. Hypothetical enzyme system for phosphoryl group translocation. (From Mitchell (48))
which we gave some permutations and combinations for a phosphokinase system by way of example, as shown in Fig. 8. The two aqueous phases are represented to right and left of the central line denoting the membrane containing the anisotropic phosphokinase; and, in each example, the chemical (group-transfer) reaction is represented as progressing downward, while the osmotic (group-translocation) reaction is represented as progressing through the phosphokinase in the membrane. Figure 8 illustrates that the overall chemiosmotic process would depend as much on the osmotic translocation (or conformational) specificities as on the chemical specificities of the catalytic system. It is in this respect that the vectorial chemiosmotic system differs fundamentally from conventionally scalar chemical ones.

The second case in Fig. 8 is shown in Fig. 9A, which represents the macroscopic chemiosmotic group-conduction principle applied to the phosphorylative translocation of the substrate GH, which could be a sugar, as in the phosphoenolpyruvate phosphotransferase system discovered in bacteria by Kundig et al. (97). Now, it was only a small, but nevertheless important, step from Fig. 8 to write heterolytic protonotive ATPase reactions as shown in (B) and (C) of Fig. 9. My original proposal (21, 51) for the protonotive ATPase, reproduced in Fig. 10, corresponded to the group-translocation system of Fig. 9B.

According to the other topological principle for manifesting the chemi-comotive effect of group translocation, we suggested that the organization could be at the microscopic level, by pairing and enclosure of a "microscopic internal phase" between neighboring catalytic units, thus giving rise to a chemical coupling effect. As illustrated in Fig. 11A, we cited as possible examples the nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADP)-linked isocitrate dehydrogenase and the malic enzyme, which catalyze consecutive oxidation and decarboxylation reactions (with oxaloacetate and oxaloacetate, respectively, as intermediates trapped in the microscopic internal phase). We pointed out that such pairing of catalytic units could be developed in three dimensions for branching or cycling reaction sequences in enzyme complexes (53, 54). In Fig. 11, (B) and (C) represent relevant hypothetical examples of the application of this microscopic coupling principle to redox and hydrodehydration complexes, respectively. Figure 11B represents consecutive hydrogen and electron transfer, via a flavoprotein (Fp) or ubiquinone (Q) and an iron-sulfur protein (Fe-S) complex, as, perhaps, in reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) dehydrogenase; and Fig. 11C shows consecutive H2O and O2 transfer, the latter via (ADP0 + PO4)/ADPOP antiport in an ATPase complex.

The microscopic pairing and the macroscopic chemiosmotic principles of topological organization have been developed together in chemiosmotic reaction systems. This is illustrated by Fig. 12, in which (B) and (C) of Fig. 11 have been plugged through a membrane to give a protonotive redox loop complex and a protonotive ATPase (hydrodehydration loop) complex, respectively. In Fig. 13, (A) and (B) represent the protonotive redox loop in a more conventional nomenclature where X and Y are hydrogen and electron carrier, respectively. It is, perhaps, more obvious from this cycling carrier style of representation that, in direct chemiosmotic mechanisms, the conformational movements or conduction processes of translocation overlap (in space-time) with the chemical processes of group transfer; and the chemi-comotive stoichiometry depends on the chemical properties, conformational articulations, or conductive properties of the catalytic carriers, according to relatively conventional biochemical principles.

The protonotive respiratory chain and photoredox chain: What is it? How does it do it? Let us return to the theme of Keilin’s respiratory chain in the light of the essentially biochemical concept of direct group-translocating or group-conducting chemiosmotic mechanisms.

As indicated in Fig. 14, the chemiosmotic hypothesis, at the biochemical level, permitted a return to Keilin's notion of a chemically simple respiratory chain; but the protonotive property would have to depend on a topological complexity in place of the chemical com-
plexity favored by the proponents of the chemical coupling type of hypothesis. This seemed to me to be an attractive notion because it was in accord with the evidence of the need for a special topological organization of the components of the respiratory chain, as shown by Keilin’s early work on the reversible dissociability of cytochrome c, and by the important pioneering work of Keilin and King (see King (5)) on the reversible dissociation of succinate dehydrogenase from the succinate oxidase complex of mitochondria—which, as we now know, set the scene for later topological resolution and reconstitution studies.

Figure 15 shows how the alternation of hydrogen and electron carriers down the chain might translocate protons across the membrane, the chain being effectively looped across the osmotic barrier, forming three proton motive redox loops that I called loops 1, 2, and 3, corresponding to the three energy-transducing regions of the classical respiratory chain between NADH and oxygen (22). In this way, each loop would translocate two protons per bivalent reducing equivalent passing along—giving six in all for NADH oxidation, as observed experimentally [see (66-68, 82, 98)].

At equilibrium, the total proton motive potential across the membrane would be equal to the total redox potential across each loop—that is, around 250 millivolts (22). Thus, we can relate quantitatively the scalar group-potential differences of chemical reactions (that is, of hydrogen and electron transfer reactions) to the real vectorial forces of transport (that is, of oppositely directed H and e⁻ transport, adding up to net H⁺ translocation).

Figure 16 illustrates my original guesses, (A) for the noncyclic photoredox chain of chloroplasts and (B) for the cyclic photoredox chain of certain photosynthetic bacteria, which employ the same direct group-conducting redox-loop principle as that applied to the respiratory chain (22). But a subtle difference of behavior was expected because the orientation of the photosynthetic pigment systems, as represented in these diagrams, should cause a nonthermodynamic photoelectric effect across the membrane with a very short rise-time—as shown by Witt (83) in elegant experiments on chloroplast thylakoids, and confirmed by Crofts and others in both chloroplasts and photosynthetic bacteria (78, 80, 99).

 Ingenious experimental work in many laboratories over the last decade has shown that these schemes require some modification of detail, but their direct group-conducting redox-loop principle has been amply confirmed, as indicated in Fig. 17, which summarizes recent knowledge about (A) the mitochondrial respiratory chain and (B) the chloroplast noncyclic photoredox chain, and the reversible ATPases (F₅F₆, and CF₅CF₆), driven by these systems. These schemes show remarkable similarities. The photoredox chain system (see Fig. 5B and Fig. 17B) obviously has a real Z configuration that corresponds to the abstract Z scheme introduced by Hill and Bendall in 1960 (100).

It may well be remarked that the protonotive stoichiometries of these schemes, which correspond to one proton per univalent reducing equivalent traversing each effective redox loop, represent a crucial datum. In my laboratory, and in most other laboratories where such stoichiometric measurements have been made (82), the -ΔH⁻/e⁻ ratio has been found to be near unity per effective redox loop. For reasons discussed elsewhere (66-68, 82, 98, 101), I do not think that recent dissent from the laboratories of Azzone (102), Lehninger (103), and Wikström (104) constitutes a serious threat to this relatively hard experimental datum.

We seem, therefore, to have a partial answer to the questions: What is it? How does it do it? It is a system of specific hydrogen and electron conductors, which generates protonicity by virtue of the fact that it is effectively looped across the coupling membrane, and catalyzes the spontaneous diffusion of hydrogen atoms and electrons in opposite directions, adding up to net proton translocation across the coupling membrane.

A Long Physicochemical View of Chemiomotive Systems

The first protonotive device conceived by man was the electromotive hydrogen-burning fuel cell, invented by William Grove in 1839 (105). It is, perhaps, not self-evident that such a fuel cell for generating electricity is also, potentially, a generator of protonicity. This is illustrated by the diagrams of the hydrogen-burning fuel cell shown in Fig. 18. It simply depends where one opens the circuit to conduct away the power for external use. In Fig. 18A, the circuit is opened in the electron conductor to give electricity. In Fig. 18B, the circuit is opened in the proton conductor to give protonicity (23).

The fuel cell is a beautiful example of the truth of the principle, enunciated by Pierre Curie at the end of the last century (106), that effects cannot be less symmetric than their causes. The phenomena of transport in the fuel cell arise from the intrinsically vectorial disposition of the chemical reactions at the anisotropic metal/aqueous catalytic electrode interfaces (107). Thus, the scalar group-potential differences of the chemical reactions are projected in space as vectorial chemical fields of force corresponding to the chemical group-potential gradients directed across the electrode interfaces.
These simple considerations illustrate nicely the nonsensical character of the question asked by certain theoreticians in the context of the coupling between transport and metabolism around 1960, and still persisting in some circles: How can scalar chemical reactions drive vectorial transport processes? The answer is plainly: They can’t (55, 57).

The idea of electrochemical cells and circuits was generalized by Guggenheim in 1933 (108) to include the chemically motivated transport of any two species of chemical particle around a suitably conducting circuit. Guggenheim’s rather abstract thermodynamic treatment effectively showed that chemical transport can be coupled reversibly to chemical transformation by splitting the chemical reaction spatially into two half-reactions, connected internally by a specific conductor of one chemical species, and connected externally by a specific conductor of another chemical species needed to complete the overall reaction (69). When we include the leading in and out of the reactants and resultants, as in the fuel cell of Fig. 18, we see that there have to be two internal specific ligand conductors arranged in a looped configuration between the interfaces where the chemical half-reactions occur (23). Obviously, the external specific ligand conduction process, for example, the flow of protons in Fig. 18B, must be the sum of the internal specific ligand conduction processes, for example the flow of hydrogen atoms one way and of electrons the opposite way in Fig. 18B.

The specification of chemical group conduction in an enzyme or catalytic carrier complex may usefully be considered to correspond to the specification of an internal ligand conduction reaction of a chemicomotive cell, the other internal and external circuit components of which may be determined by the topological arrangement of the specific group-conducting complex, relative to other osmotic or diffusion-regulating systems. Thus, as the name chemiosmotic implies, the intrinsic osmotic property of a chemical group-translocation or group-conduction reaction in biology represents its chemicomotive potentiality, which may be exploited (through natural selection) by appropriate topological organization.

For example, the notion of the protonmotive redox loop is based on this type of development of the specific ligand-conducting group-translocation concept

$$\text{AH}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{H}^+$$

As indicated in Eq. 2, the internal (transosmotic barrier) ligand conductors in the redox-loop complex are conceived as being specific for hydrogen atoms that diffuse down their potential gradient one
way, and for electrons that diffuse down their (electrochemical) potential gradient the opposite way—exactly as in the fuel cell of Fig. 18B, and as illustrated further in Fig. 19. The outer circuit consists of the aqueous proton conductors on either side of the insulating lipid membrane (22).

The notion of the protonmotive hydrodehydration loop for reversible ATP hydrolysis depends on a similar principle (22).

As illustrated formally in Eq. 3, proton motive ATP hydrolysis may be conceived as the specific conduction of ATP (written ADPOP) and H₂O one way, and of ADPO⁻ + PO⁻ the opposite way, giving, by difference, the net translocation of 2H⁺. In Eq. 3 the H₂O is shown in parentheses to denote that there need be no specific H₂O conduction pathway, because lipid membranes generally have a high conductance to water (37).

These considerations show that the chemiosmotic rationale has its roots in physical and chemical theory going back more than a century; they also suggest that the general concept of specific vectorial ligand conduction has very powerful applications in physics, chemistry, and biology, which are, as yet, by no means fully appreciated (65).

The proton motive redox loop and hydrodehydration loop, and other possible chemiomotive loops, as generally defined here, depend on a very simple specific ligand-conduction mechanism. I have called it the direct chemiomotic mechanism in the biological systems, where it represents a spatial extension of the conventional biochemical concept of group transfer, but it is identical in general principle to the mechanism of man-made fuel cells. I find it remarkably paradoxical, therefore, that many physiologists and biochemists have tended to reject, or have found it difficult to accept intuitively, the feasibility of this direct and most biochemically conventional type of chemiomotic mechanism [see (28, 36, 109-112)]. Instead, as illustrated in Fig. 20, they have been inclined to adopt exclusively conformationally coupled mechanisms, in which the chemical and osmotic reaction centers are conceived as being separate in space-time, and interact exclusively via the conformational movements of an intervening polypeptide system, indicated by the squiggly line. Such essentially black-box mechanisms, involving hypothetical translocators (T), unlike their direct counterparts, can be adjusted to have any stoichiometry (n) to suit the experiments of the day, and they are of such low information-content biochemically as to be very difficult to disprove experimentally. By providing a blanket explanation, but without currently testable detail, it seems to me that the concept of exclusively conformational coupling in chemiomotic reactions, which can be partly attributed to a spillover from studies of the Na⁺/K⁺-motive and Ca²⁺-motive ATPases, may actually inhibit productive research by acting as a palliative (37, 65, 82). Conjectures about direct biochemically explicit chemiomotic mechanisms, on the other hand, even if wrong, promote experimental activity and enthusiasm by suggesting crucial experiments for testing them. This, I think, has been an important strategic function of the biochemical conceptual aspect of the chemiomotic theory in the recent past, and I would like to persuade more of my colleagues to make use of that function in the future—even, perhaps, in the field of the Na⁺/K⁺-motive and Ca²⁺-motive ATPases (64, 82), where progress in understanding the general chemiomotic principle of the mechanisms has so far been rather slow. The fact that the metal ions are not involved directly in stable covalent compounds does not mitigate against their being involved in stable electrostatic complexes with anionic phosphate groups that move along specific pathways down their group-potential gradients during ATP hydrolysis in the relatively nonequilibrium environment of the enzyme active site domain.

Let me interject here that the proton chemiosmotic theory has a much broader range of applicability than is encompassed by the central field of energy transduction in the classical oxidative and photosynthetic phosphorylation systems, treated in this article (66). For example: there is the proton motive bacteriorhodopsin system of Halobacterium halobium (113); the proton motive pyrophosphatase of photosynthetic bacteria (114); protonic heating in fat cell mitochondria of hibernating animals (115); the remarkable rotatory flagellar motor of bacteria, which is driven by protonicity (116, 117); the proton motive ATPase and proton-coupled porter systems in chloroplast envelope membranes (118, 119), in the plasma membranes of molds, yeasts, and higher plants (60, 66, 120), and also in the membranes of chromaffin granules (121) and synaptosomes (122); interesting and unusual redox chain systems, such as that of the acidophile Thiobacillus ferroxidans (123), which has incidentally helped to rule out the localized
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**Fig. 15.** Suggested looped configuration of respiratory chain systems. [After Mitchell (22)]

---

**Fig. 16.** Suggested protonmotive photoredox chain systems: (A) for chloroplast noncyclic photoredox activity; (B) for bacterial cyclic photoredox activity. System I, System II, and PPS stand for photosynthetic pigment systems. [After Mitchell (22, 23)]

---
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protonic anhydride coupling hypothesis; and probably other proticity producing and consuming systems yet to be discovered. Moreover, it was never my wish or intention that the concepts of specific ligand conduction and chemical group translocation \((47, 48, 50, 55, 56)\), on which the chemiosmotic theory is based, should be confined to systems coupled by proticity. It is only the unique versatility of the uses of proticity (66), and its importance for power transmission in the main pathways of energy metabolism, that has accidentally tended to associate the use of the chemiosmotic theory with protonotive and protonmotivated systems, rather than with other chemiosmotic and chemicomotivated systems. In recent reviews (65, 82) I have endeavored to encourage the wider use of chemiosmotic theory, and the powerful biochemical concept of specific ligand conduction, in terms of the general idea of chemicalicity—an explicit extension of Lipmann’s precious idea of metabolic process patterns (10).

**The Protonmotive Respiratory Chain and Photoredox Chain**

The respiratory chain system summarized in Fig. 17A differs from my earlier suggestion of three linearly arranged redox loops (Figs. 14 and 15) in that loops 2 and 3 are coalesced into a cyclic loop 2 + 3 configuration, described as the Q cycle, catalyzed by the cytochrome \(b\)-\(c\) complex. In this way, many of the otherwise anomalous thermodynamic poising and kinetic characteristics of cytochromes b-566 and b-562 (represented by \(bb\) in the diagram) may be explained, and the presence of ubiquinone (Q) as the only hydrogen carrier in this redox region, and the site of action of the inhibitor antimycin, may also be rationalized (124–134). As indicated by the broken symbols of Fig. 17B, it is not yet certain whether a similar plastoquinone (PQ) cycle may operate in chloroplasts (74). Another significant feature of the diagrams of Fig. 17 is the inclusion of iron-sulfur centers (Fe-S), which, following the pioneer work of Helmut Beinert, are now thought to have as important a role in electron transport as the heme nuclei of cytochromes (135).

The representation of the respiratory and photoredox chains as a set of physically compact complexes (that may be partially resolved and reconstituted) stems from work by Keilin and King (136), by Takemori and King (137), by the Madison group, led by Green and Havel (138), and by Racker’s group (71). They originally defined four complexes: NADH-Q reductase, succinate-Q reductase, OH-Q, cytochrome c reductase (the cytochrome b-\(c\) complex), and cytochrome oxidase—functionally linked by ubiquinone and cytochrome c. Racker’s group added the F, F, and C, C, complexes, which are physically and chemically separate from the redox complexes (71, 76, 139, 140).

The lipid coupling membrane through which the redox and ATPase complexes are plugged is now considered to be very mobile laterally (130, 134, 147) in accordance with the fluid membrane concept of Singer and Nicholson (142).

There are about equal numbers of cytochrome b-\(c\) and cytochrome oxidase complexes in mitochondrial respiratory chains. Counting all the different Q-linked dehydrogenases (NADH dehydrogenase, succinate dehydrogenase, electron transfer flavoprotein dehydrogenase, choline dehydrogenase, glyceral-1-phosphate dehydrogenase, for example), there are about as many dehydrogenase complexes as there are...
cytochrome $b$–$c_1$ complexes. Thus, there is no special significance of the number four in the redox complexes of Green’s group. There is, however, a special significance of two complexes: the cytochrome $b$–$c_1$ complex and the cytochrome oxidase complex, which are functionally linked by cytochrome $c$, and make up the protonmotive cytochrome system. This remarkably compact system serves all the Q-linked dehydrogenases, only one of which, the NADH dehydrogenase, is, so far, known to be protonmotive itself (143).

There are generally at least ten Q molecules per cytochrome $b$–$c_1$ complex, so providing for the redox pool function of Q, identified by Kröger and Klingenberg (144). However, recent work by Ragan and colleagues (133, 134) on functional interaction between NADH–Q reductase and cytochrome $b$–$c_1$ complexes in liposomal membranes confirms the thesis of King (5, 130) that the most active redox-functional units are binary dehydrogenase-cytochrome $b$–$c_1$ complexes containing bound Q. Thus, it may be that the Q pool function arises more from rapid lateral lipid mobility, giving rise to a dynamic association-dissociation equilibrium of binary dehydrogenase-cytochrome $b$–$c_1$ complexes with associated Q, than to the lateral diffusion of free Q molecules between dehydrogenase and cytochrome $b$–$c_1$ complexes.

Hauska (145, 146) and Lenaz et al. (147) have argued that Q and PQ are sufficiently mobile across the lipid phase of liposomes to account for the observed rates of conduction of H atoms across mitochondrial and chloroplastic coupling membranes by the Q and PQ pools. It seems likely, however, that, in accordance with the ideas of King, and with the concept of the Q cycle [see Mitchell (124)], the conduction of H atoms across the osmotic barrier may occur preferentially via specific ligand-conducting Q and PQ domains associated with Q-binding or PQ-binding proteins in the cytochrome $b$–$c_1$ (or $b$–$f$?) complexes, and in the neighboring dehydrogenase (or PSII?) complexes (127, 128, 130, 133, 134, 146, 148–150).

The notion of the net conduction of O$^-$ groups by (ADP$^-$ + P$^-$)/ATP antiporter in F$_1$, and CF$_1$ (37, 61) is based on the precedent of the mitochondrial ADP/ATP antiporter, which is known to conduct ADP and ATP only in specific protonation states (151). The protonmotive NAD(P) transhydrogenase, not shown in Fig. 17, may likewise translocate protons by the effective antiport of the phosphate couple. But the action of specific ligand conduction in the plug-through chemiosmotic complexes requires additional dynamic topological, physical, and chemical specifications that facilitate the diffusion of the ligands along uniquely articulated pathways down through-space or through-bond fields of force.

There is still much to be understood about the biochemical details of the specific ligand-conduction processes, even for electron conduction (130, 152). But, I think it is fair to say that the protonmotive property of the mitochondrial cytochrome system and the photosystems of chloroplasts can probably be correctly explained, in general principle, by the direct ligand-conduction type of chemiosmotic mechanism. The same may be said of the protonmotive property of the photosystems of bacterial chro-

matophores (153, 154), and of certain bacterial redox chains (88, 101). The mechanism of the protonmotive ATPase is more controversial; but, at all events, mechanistic conjectures of the direct chemiosmotic type seem to me to be strategically valuable because they stimulate rational experimental research and thereby add to our biochemical knowledge, even if they ultimately turn out to be wrong.

Conclusion and Prospect

The students of membrane biochemistry and bioenergetics have endured a long period of uncertainty and conceptual upheaval during the last 30 years—a time of great personal, as well as scientific, trauma for many of us.

The present position, in which, with
comparatively few dissenters, we have successfully reached a consensus in favor of the chemiosmotic theory, augurs well for the future congeniality and effectiveness of experimental research in the field of membrane biochemistry and bioenergetics. At the time of the most intensive testing of the chemiosmotic hypothesis, in the 1960’s and early 1970’s, it was not in the power of any of us to predict the outcome. The aspect of the present position of consensus that I find most remarkable and admirable, is the altruism and generosity with which former opponents of the chemiosmotic hypothesis have not only come to accept it, but have actively promoted it to the status of a theory. According to their classicly Popperian view (67), the chemiosmotic theory is worth accepting, for the time being, as the best conceptual framework (15). This did not falsify the pessimistic dictum of the great Max Planck is, I think, a singularly happy achievement.

Returning, finally, to the theme of the respiratory chain, it is essentially noteworthy that Keilin’s chemically simple view of the respiratory chain appears now to have been right all along—and he deserves great credit for having been so reluctant to become involved when the energy-rich chemical intermediates began to be so fashionable. This reminds me of the aphorism: ‘The obscure we see eventually, the completely apparent takes longer.’
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