Reactive Oxygen Species, Oxidative Damage, and Antioxidative Defense Mechanism in Plants under Stressful Conditions
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Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced as a normal product of plant cellular metabolism. Various environmental stresses lead to excessive production of ROS causing progressive oxidative damage and ultimately cell death. Despite their destructive activity, they are well-described second messengers in a variety of cellular processes, including conferment of tolerance to various environmental stresses. Whether ROS would serve as signaling molecules or could cause oxidative damage to the tissues depends on the delicate equilibrium between ROS production, and their scavenging. Efficient scavenging of ROS produced during various environmental stresses requires the action of several nonenzymatic as well as enzymatic antioxidants present in the tissues. In this paper, we describe the generation, sites of production and role of ROS as messenger molecules as well as inducers of oxidative damage. Further, the antioxidative defense mechanisms operating in the cells for scavenging of ROS overproduced under various stressful conditions of the environment have been discussed in detail.

1. Introduction

An unavoidable consequence of aerobic metabolism is production of reactive oxygen species (ROS). ROS include free radicals such as superoxide anion (O2•−), hydroxyl radical (*OH), as well as nonradical molecules like hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), singlet oxygen (1O2), and so forth. Stepwise reduction of molecular oxygen (O2) by high-energy exposure or electron-transfer reactions leads to production of the highly reactive ROS. In plants, ROS are always formed by the inevitable leakage of electrons onto O2 from the electron transport activities of chloroplasts, mitochondria, and plasma membranes or as a byproduct of various metabolic pathways localized in different cellular compartments [1–5]. Environmental stresses such as drought, salinity, chilling, metal toxicity, and UV-B radiation as well as pathogens attack lead to enhanced generation of ROS in plants due to disruption of cellular homeostasis [6–15]. All ROS are extremely harmful to organisms at high concentrations. When the level of ROS exceeds the defense mechanisms, a cell is said to be in a state of “oxidative stress.” The enhanced production of ROS during environmental stresses can pose a threat to cells by causing peroxidation of lipids, oxidation of proteins, damage to nucleic acids, enzyme inhibition, activation of programmed cell death (PCD) pathway and ultimately leading to death of the cells [6–8, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17].

Despite their destructive activity, ROS are well-described second messengers in a variety of cellular processes including tolerance to environmental stresses [18–20]. Whether ROS will act as damaging or signaling molecule depends on the delicate equilibrium between ROS production and scavenging. Because of the multifunctional roles of ROS, it is necessary for the cells to control the level of ROS tightly to avoid any oxidative injury and not to eliminate them completely. Scavenging or detoxification of excess ROS is achieved by an efficient antioxidative system comprising of the nonenzymic as well as enzymic antioxidants [21]. The enzymic antioxidants include superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), guaiacol peroxidase (GPX), enzymes of ascorbate-glutathione (AsA-GSH) cycle such as ascorbate peroxidase...
(APX), monodehydroascorbate reductase (MDHAR), dehydroascorbate reductase (DHAR), and glutathione reductase (GR) [21]. Ascorbate (AsA), glutathione (GSH), carotenoids, tocopherols, and phenolics serve as potent nonenzymic antioxidants within the cell. Various workers have reported increased activities of many enzymes of the antioxidant defense system in plants to combat oxidative stress induced by various environmental stresses. Maintenance of a high antioxidant capacity to scavenge the toxic ROS has been linked to increased tolerance of plants to these environmental stresses [22, 23]. Considerable progress has been made in improving stress-induced oxidative stress tolerance in crop plants by developing transgenic lines with altered levels of antioxidants [24, 25]. Simultaneous expression of multiple antioxidant enzymes has been shown to be more effective than single or double expression for developing transgenic plants with enhanced tolerance to multiple environmental stresses [26]. The present review focuses on types of ROS, their site of production, and their role as messenger and inducer of oxidative stress. Further, role of antioxidative defense system in combating danger posed by overproduced ROS under stresses has been discussed in detail.

2. Reactive Oxygen Species, Sites of Production, and Their Effects

ROS are a group of free radicals, reactive molecules, and ions that are derived from O2. It has been estimated that about 1% of O2 consumed by plants is diverted to produce ROS [27] in various subcellular loci such as chloroplasts, mitochondria, peroxisomes. ROS are well recognized for playing a dual role as both deleterious and beneficial species depending on their concentration in plants. At high concentration ROS cause damage to biomolecules, whereas at low/moderate concentration it acts as second messenger in intracellular signaling cascades that mediate several responses in plant cells.

2.1. Types of ROS. The most common ROS include 1O2, O2•−, H2O2, *OH, O2 itself is a totally harmless molecule as in its ground state it has two unpaired electrons with parallel spin which makes it paramagnetic and, hence, unlikely to participate in reactions with organic molecules unless it is activated [28]. Activation of O2 may occur by two different mechanisms: (i) absorption of sufficient energy to reverse the spin on one of the unpaired electrons and (ii) stepwise monovalent reduction (Figure 1). In the former, 1O2 is formed, whereas in latter, O2 is sequentially reduced to O2•−, H2O2, and *OH (Figure 1).

Electrons in the biradical form of oxygen have parallel spin. Absorption of sufficient energy reverses the spin of one of its unpaired electrons leading to formation of singlet state in which the two electrons have opposite spin. This activation overcomes the spin restriction and 1O2 can consequently participate in reactions involving the simultaneous transfer of two electrons (divalent reduction) [28]. In the light, highly reactive 1O2 can be produced via triplet chlorophyll (Chl) formation in the antenna system and in the reaction centre of photosystem II [29]. In the antenna, insufficient energy dissipation during photosynthesis can lead to formation of chlorophyll (Chl) triplet state, whereas in the reaction centre it is formed via charge recombination of the light-induced charge pair [29]. The Chl triplet state can react with 3O2 to give up the very highly destructive ROS 1O2:

\[ \text{Chl} \xrightarrow{\text{light}} 3\text{Chl}, \]

\[ 3\text{Chl} + 3\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Chl} + 3\text{O}_2, \]  

Further, limited CO2 availability due to closure of stomata during various environmental stresses such as salinity, drought favors the formation of 1O2. The life time of 1O2 within the cell is probably 3 μs or less [30, 31]. A fraction of 1O2 has been shown to be able to diffuse over considerable distances of several hundred nanometers (nm). 1O2 can last for 4 μs in water and 100 μs in a nonpolar environment [1]. 1O2 reacts with most of the biological molecules at near diffusion-controlled rates [1]. It directly oxidizes protein, unsaturated fatty acids, and DNA [32]. It causes nucleic acid modification through selective reaction with deoxyguanosine [33]. It is thought to be the most important species responsible for light-induced loss of photosystem II (PSII) activity which may trigger cell death [34]. 1O2 can be quenched by β-carotene, a-tocopherol or can react with the D1 protein of photosystem II as target [29].

Due to spin restriction, molecular O2 cannot accept four electrons at a time to produce H2O. It accepts one electron at a time and hence during reduction of O2 stable intermediates are formed in the step-wise fashion [35]. O2•− is the primary ROS formed in the cell which initiates a cascade of reactions to generate “secondary” ROS, either directly or prevalently through enzyme- or metal-catalysed processes [36] depending on the cell type or cellular compartment. O2•− is a moderately reactive, short-lived ROS with a half-life of approx. 1 μs. O2•− is a nucleophilic reactant with both oxidizing and reducing properties [37]. Anionic charge of O2•− inhibits its electrophilic activity toward electron-rich molecules. O2•− has been shown to oxidize enzymes containing the [4Fe-4S] clusters (aconitase or dehydratase as examples) [38] and reduce cytochrome C [39]. O2•− can accept one electron and two protons to form H2O2. It is easily dissimutated to H2O2 either nonenzymatically or by SOD catalyzed reaction to hydrogen peroxide:

\[ 2\text{O}_2•− + 2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2, \]

\[ 2\text{O}_2•− + 2\text{H}^+ \xrightarrow{\text{SOD}} \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2, \]  

H2O2 is generated in the cells under normal as well as wide range of stressful conditions such as drought, chilling, UV irradiation, exposure to intense light, wounding and intrusion by pathogens. Electron transport chain (ETC) of chloroplast, mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum and plasma membrane, β-oxidation of fatty acid and photosynthesis are major sources of H2O2 generation in plant cells. Photooxidation reactions, NADPH oxidase as well as xanthine oxidase (XOD) also contribute to H2O2 production in plants. It is also generated in tissues requiring it as a substrate.
Figure 1: Schematic representation of generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in plants. Activation of \( \text{O}_2 \) occurs by two different mechanisms. Stepwise monovalent reduction of \( \text{O}_2 \) leads to formation of \( \text{O}_2^{\cdot-} \), \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), and \( ^\cdot \text{OH} \), whereas energy transfer to \( \text{O}_2 \) leads to formation of \( ^1\text{O}_2 \). \( \text{O}_2^{\cdot-} \) is easily dismutated to \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) either nonenzymatically or by superoxide dismutase (SOD) catalyzed reaction to \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \). \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) is converted to \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) by catalase (CAT), guaiacol peroxidase (GPX), and ascorbate peroxidase (APX).

for biosynthesis such as for lignification and suberization. \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) is moderately reactive and is relatively long-lived molecule with a half-life of 1 ms [40]. \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) has no unpaired electrons, unlike other oxygen radicals, it can readily cross biological membranes and consequently can cause oxidative damage far from the site of its formation. Because \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) is the only ROS that can diffuse through aquaporins in the membranes and over larger distances within the cell [41] and is relatively stable compared to other ROS, it has received particular attention as a signal molecule involved in the regulation of specific biological processes and triggering tolerance against various environmental stresses such as plant-pathogen interactions at low concentration [19, 20, 42]. At high concentration, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) can oxidize the cysteine (–SH) or methionine residues (–SCH\(_3\)), and inactivate enzymes by oxidizing their thiol groups, such as enzymes of Calvin cycle, Cu/Zn-SOD, and Fe-SOD [43]. When hydrogen peroxide accumulates at levels of 10 \( \mu \text{M} \), the enzymes in the Calvin cycle, such as fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase, sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase, and phosphoribulokinase, lose 50% of their activity [44, 45]. It also oxidizes protein kinases, phosphatases, and transcription factors containing thiolate residues. At high concentrations, it orchestrates programmed cell death [46].

Both \( \text{O}_2^{\cdot-} \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) are only moderately reactive. The cellular damage by ROS appears to be due to their conversion into more reactive species. The formation of \( ^\cdot \text{OH} \) is dependent on both \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and \( \text{O}_2^{\cdot-} \) and, thus, its formation is subject to inhibition by both SOD and CAT.

The Haber-Weiss reaction generates \( ^\cdot \text{OH} \) from \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and \( \text{O}_2^{\cdot-} \). It consists of the following two reactions:

\[
\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{OH}^- + ^\cdot \text{OH}, \quad (6)
\]

and reaction:

\[
\text{O}_2^{\cdot-} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow ^\cdot \text{OH} + \text{OH}^- + \text{O}_2. \quad (7)
\]

First, Fe(III) is reduced by \( \text{O}_2^{\cdot-} \), followed by oxidation by dihydrogen peroxide (Fenton reaction)

Metal catalysis is necessary for this reaction since the rate of uncatalyzed reaction is negligible [47]. \( ^\cdot \text{OH} \) is the most reactive among all ROS. It has a single unpaired electron, thus, it can react with oxygen in triplet ground state. \( ^\cdot \text{OH} \) interacts with all biological molecules and causes subsequent cellular damages such as lipid peroxidation, protein damage, and membrane destruction [48]. Because cells have no enzymatic mechanism to eliminate \( ^\cdot \text{OH} \), its excess production can eventually lead to cell death [49]. Under illumination, formation of \( ^\cdot \text{OH} \) by the Fenton reaction at the active site of the enzyme Rubisco leads to its fragmentation in chloroplast lysates [50, 51]. The oxidation of organic substrates by \( ^\cdot \text{OH} \) may proceed by two possible reactions, either by addition of \( ^\cdot \text{OH} \) to organic molecules or due to abstraction of a hydrogen atom from it. Because of short lifetime and the strongly positive redox potential (close to +2 V) of “free” \( ^\cdot \text{OH} \), its sites of reaction are close to its point of formation [52]. In this context, organic oxygen radicals such as alkoy, peroxy, semiquinones, reduced hydrogen peroxide, and hydrogen peroxide-electron donor complexes (crypto-OH), as well as metallo-oxygen complexes, have been proposed as the ultimately active species besides destructive free \( ^\cdot \text{OH} \) [53].
2.2. Sites of Production of ROS. ROS are produced in both unstressed and stressed cells at several locations in chloroplasts, mitochondria, plasma membranes, peroxisomes, apoplast, endoplasmic reticulum, and cell walls (Figure 2). ROS are always formed by the inevitable leakage of electrons onto O$_2$ from the electron transport activities of chloroplasts, mitochondria, and plasma membranes or as a byproduct of various metabolic pathways localized in different cellular compartments.

2.2.1. Chloroplasts. In chloroplasts, various forms of ROS are generated from several locations. ETCs in PSI and PSII are the main sources of ROS in chloroplasts. Production of ROS by these sources is enhanced in plants by conditions limiting CO$_2$ fixation, such as drought, salt, and temperature stresses, as well as by the combination of these conditions with high-light stress. Under normal conditions, the electron flow from the excited PS centers to NADP which is reduced to NADPH which, then, enters the Calvin cycle and reduces the final electron acceptor, CO$_2$. In case of overloading of the ETC, due to decreased NADP supply resulting from stress conditions, there is leakage of electron from ferredoxin to O$_2$, reducing it to O$_2$$^•$− [54]. This process is called Mehler reaction:

$$2O_2 + 2Fd_{\text{red}} \rightarrow 2O_2$$^•$− $+ 2Fdox$$ \tag{8}$$

Leakage of electrons to O$_2$ may also occur from 2Fe-2S and 4Fe-4S clusters in the ETC of PSI. In PSII, acceptor side of ETC contains QA and QB. Leakage of electron from this site to O$_2$ contributes to the production of O$_2$$^•$− [55].

The formation of O$_2$$^•$− by O$_2$ reduction is a rate-limiting step. Once formed, O$_2$$^•$− generates more aggressive ROS. It may be protonated to HO$_2$$^•$ on the internal, “lumen” membrane surface or dismutated enzymatically (by SOD) or spontaneously to H$_2$O$_2$ on the external “stromal” membrane surface. At Fe-S centers where Fe$^{2+}$ is available, H$_2$O$_2$ may be transformed through the Fenton reaction into the much more dangerous OH$^•$.

2.2.2. Mitochondria. Mitochondria can produce ROS in several sites of ETC. In mitochondria direct reduction of oxygen to O$_2$$^•$− occurs in the flavoprotein region of NADH dehydrogenase segment (complex I) of the respiratory chain [56]. When NAD$^+$-linked substrates for complex I are limited, electron transport can occur from complex II to complex I (reverse electron flow). This process has been shown to increase ROS production at complex I and is regulated by ATP hydrolysis [57]. Ubiquinone-cytochrome region (complex III) of the ETC also produces O$_2$$^•$− from oxygen. It is believed that fully reduced ubiquinone donates an electron to cytochrome C$_1$ and leaves an unstable highly reducing ubisemiquinone radical which is favorable for the electron leakage to O$_2$ and, hence, to O$_2$$^•$− formation [58]. In plants, under normal aerobic conditions, ETC and ATP syntheses are tightly coupled; however, various stress factors lead to inhibition and modification of its component, leading to over reduction of electron carriers and, hence, formation of ROS [4, 59].

Several enzymes present in mitochondrial matrix can produce ROS. Some of them produce ROS directly, for example aconitase, whereas some others like 1-galactono-$γ$ lactone dehydrogenase (GAL), are able to feed electrons to ETC [60, 61]. O$_2$$^•$− is the primary ROS formed by monovalent reduction in the ETC. It is converted quickly either by the MnSOD (mitochondrial form of SOD) or APX into the relatively stable and membrane-permeable H$_2$O$_2$. H$_2$O$_2$ can be further converted to extremely active hydroxyl radical (OH$^•$) in the Fenton reaction.
2.2.3. Endoplasmic Reticulum. In endoplasmic reticulum, NAD(P)H-dependent electron transport involving Cyt P₄₅₀ produces O₂⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻. Organic substrate, RH, reacts first with Cyt P₄₅₀ and then is reduced by a flavoprotein to form a radical intermediate (Cyt P₄₅₀R⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻). Triplet oxygen can readily react with this radical intermediate as each has one unpaired electron. This oxygenated complex (Cyt P₄₅₀-ROO⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻) may be reduced by cytochrome b or occasionally the complexes may decompose releasing O₂⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻.

2.2.4. Peroxisomes. Peroxisomes are probably the major sites of intracellular H₂O₂ production, as a result of their essentially oxidative type of metabolism [3]. The main metabolic processes responsible for the generation of H₂O₂ in different types of peroxisomes are the glycolate oxidase reaction, the fatty acid β-oxidation, the enzymatic reaction of flavin oxidases, and the disproportionation of O₂⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻. In endoplasmic reticulum, the production of H₂O₂ in the presence of menadione, a quinone acceptor, and the nongrowing zones of hypocotyls and roots of etiolated maize seedlings [5]. NAD(P)H mediated the production of O₂⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻ in all plasma membrane samples. It was suggested that peroxisomes use NADH as electron donor for O₂⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻ and the third O₂⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻ is dismutated to H₂O₂ either spontaneously or by SOD activity. NADPH oxidase has been proposed to play a key role in the production and accumulation of ROS in plants under stress conditions [28, 42, 65].

2.2.6. Cell Walls. Cell walls are also regarded as active sites for ROS production. Role of cell-wall-associated peroxidase in H₂O₂ generation has been shown. In horseradish, peroxidase associated with isolated cell walls catalyzes the formation of H₂O₂ in the presence of NADH. The reaction is stimulated by various monophenols, especially of coniferyl alcohol. Malate dehydrogenase was found to be the sole candidate for providing NADH [66]. The generation of ROS by cell-wall-located peroxidases has been shown during hypersensitive response (HR) triggered in cotton by the bacterium Xanthomonas campestris pv. malvacearum [67] and potassium (K) deficiency stress in Arabidopsis [68]. Diamine oxidases are also involved in production of activated oxygen in the cell wall using diamine or polyamines (putrescine, spermidine, cadaverine, etc.) to reduce a quinone that autoxidizes to form peroxides [54].

2.2.2.7. Apoplast. Cell-wall-located enzymes have been proved to be responsible for apoplastic ROS production [5, 28]. The cell-wall-associated oxalate oxidase, also known as germin, releases H₂O₂ and CO₂ from oxalic acid [69]. This enzyme was reported to be involved in apoplastic hydrogen peroxide accumulation during interactions between different cereals species and fungi [70]. Amine oxidase-like enzymes may contribute to defense responses occurring in the apoplast following biotic stress, mainly through H₂O₂ production [71]. Amine oxidases catalyze the oxidative deamination of polyamines (i.e., putrescine, spermine, and spermidine) using FAD as a cofactor [71]. Heyno and coworkers [5], based on their study, concluded that apoplastic OH⁻ generation depends fully, or for the most part, on peroxidase localized in the cell wall.

2.3. Role of ROS as Messengers. At low/moderate concentration, ROS have been implicated as second messengers in intracellular signaling cascades that mediate several plant responses in plant cells, including stomatal closure [19, 20, 65], programmed cell death [7, 72], gravitropism [73], and acquisition of tolerance to both biotic and abiotic stresses [42, 74]. Figure 3 shows the role of ROS as second messenger in hormone mediated cellular responses in plants. Plants can sense, transduce and translate ROS signal into appropriate cellular responses with the help of some redox-sensitive proteins, calcium mobilization, protein phosphorylation, and gene expression. ROS can be sensed directly also by key signaling proteins such as a tyrosine phosphatase through sensing, transducing and translating ROS signal molecules and the pathway forming part of the signaling network that controls response downstream of ROS.
The strength, lifetime and size of the ROS signaling pool depends on balance between oxidant production and removal by the antioxidant. Using mutants deficient in key ROS-scavenging enzymes, Miller and coworkers [74] identified a signaling pathway that is activated in cells in response to ROS accumulation. Interestingly, many of the key players in this pathway, including different zinc finger proteins and WRKY transcription factors, are also central regulators of abiotic stress responses involved in temperature, salinity and osmotic stresses.

ROS are considered second messengers in the abscisic acid (ABA) transduction pathway in guard cells [19, 20]. ABA induced H$_2$O$_2$ is an essential signal in mediating stomatal closure to reduce water loss through the activation of calcium-permeable channels in the plasma membrane [77]. Jannat and coworkers [78] observed that ABA-inducible cytosolic H$_2$O$_2$ elevation functions in ABA-induced stomatal closure, while constitutive increase of H$_2$O$_2$ does not cause stomatal closure. Role of ROS as second messenger in root gravitropism has been demonstrated. Based on their work, Joo and coworkers [73] proposed that gravity induces asymmetric movement of auxin within 60 min, and, then, the auxin stimulates ROS generation to mediate gravitropism. Further, scavenging of ROS by antioxidants (N-acetylcysteine, ascorbic acid, and Trolox) inhibited root gravitropism [73]. ROS appear to be involved in dormancy alleviation. In dormant barley grains under control condition, gibberellic acid (GA) signaling and ROS content are low, while ABA signaling is high, resulting in dormancy. Exogenous H$_2$O$_2$ does not appear to alter ABA biosynthesis and signaling, but has a more pronounced effect on GA signaling, inducing a change in hormonal balance that results in germination [79]. ROS have been shown to play a key role in PCD in barley aleurone cells, initiated by GA. Bethke and Jones [72] observed that GA-treated aleurone protoplasts are less tolerant to internally generated or exogenously applied H$_2$O than ABA-treated protoplasts and suggested that ROS are components of the hormonally regulated cell death pathway in barley aleurone cells.

Plants have evolved a complex regulatory network to mediate biotic and abiotic stress responses based on ROS synthesis, scavenging, and signaling. Transient production of ROS is detected in the early events of plant-pathogen interactions and plays an important signaling role in pathogenesis signal transduction regulators. This production-called oxidative burst could be considered as a specific signal during the interaction process [80]. In HR, SA is thought to potentiate ROS signaling [81]. ROS are shown to act as a second messenger for the induction of defense genes in tomato plants in response to wounding [82]. ROS were generated near cell walls of vascular bundle cells of tomato leaves in response to wounding and resulted H$_2$O$_2$ from wound-inducible polygalacturonase acted as a second messenger for the activation of defense genes in mesophyll cells, but not for signaling pathway genes in vascular bundle cells [82].

Lignin is important for the plant’s response to environmental stress. Denness and coworkers [83] characterized a genetic network enabling plants to regulate lignin biosynthesis in response to cell wall damage through dynamic interactions between Jasmonic acid and ROS. ROS have been shown to play important roles in osmotic stress, low temperature, and heavy metal signal transduction pathway [75, 84, 85]. Genes involved in osmotic stress signaling have been shown to be upregulated by ROS, including the transcription factor DREB2A and a histidine kinase [18]. In Arabidopsis culture cells, it was reported that the MAPK AtMPK6 that can be activated by low temperature and osmotic stress could also be activated by oxidative stress [84]. Borsani and coworkers [86] suggested that the increased osmotic stress tolerance of transgenic Arabidopsis expressing a salicylate hydroxylase (NahG) gene, might result from decreased SA-mediated ROS generation. Zhao and coworkers [87] reported that ROS play important roles in drought-induced abscisic acid synthesis in plant and suggested that they may be the signals through
which the plant can “sense” the drought condition. Using pharmacological inhibitors, it is demonstrated that metals Cd\(^{2+}\) and Cu\(^{2+}\) induce MAP kinase activation via distinct ROS-generating systems [85].

2.4. ROS and Oxidative Damage to Biomolecules. Production and removal of ROS must be strictly controlled in order to avoid oxidative stress. When the level of ROS exceeds the defense mechanisms, a cell is said to be in a state of “oxidative stress” However, the equilibrium between production and scavenging of ROS is perturbed under a number of stressful conditions such as salinity, drought, high light, toxicity due to metals, pathogens, and so forth. Enhanced level of ROS can cause damage to biomolecules such as lipids, proteins and DNA (Figure 4). These reactions can alter intrinsic membrane properties like fluidity, ion transport, loss of enzyme activity, protein cross-linking, inhibition of protein synthesis, DNA damage, and so forth ultimately resulting in cell death.

2.4.1. Lipids. When ROS level reaches above threshold, enhanced lipid peroxidation takes place in both cellular and organellar membranes, which, in turn, affect normal cellular functioning. Lipid peroxidation aggravates the oxidative stress through production of lipid-derived radicals that themselves can react with and damage proteins and DNA. The level of lipid peroxidation has been widely used as an indicator of ROS mediated damage to cell membranes under stressful conditions. Increased peroxidation (degradation) of lipids has been reported in plants growing under environmental stresses [8, 10, 12, 13]. Increase in lipid peroxidation under these stresses parallels with increased production of ROS. Malondialdehyde (MDA) is one of the final products of peroxidation of unsaturated fatty acids in phospholipids and is responsible for cell membrane damage [43]. Two common sites of ROS attack on the phospholipid molecules are the unsaturated (double) bond between two carbon atoms and the ester linkage between glycerol and the fatty acid. The polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) present in membrane phospholipids are particularly sensitive to attack by ROS. A single •OH can result in peroxidation of many polyunsaturated fatty acids because the reactions involved in this process are part of a cyclic chain reaction. The overall process of lipid peroxidation involves three distinct stages: initiation, propagation, and termination steps. The initial phase of lipid peroxidation includes activation of O\(_2\) which is rate limiting. O\(_2^-\) and •OH can react with methylene groups of PUFA forming conjugated dienes, lipid peroxy radicals and hydroperoxides [88]:

\[
P_{\text{UFA}} - H + X^- \rightarrow P_{\text{UFA}} + X - H. \quad (9)
\]

\[
P_{\text{UFA}} + O_2 \rightarrow P_{\text{UFA}} - OH. \quad (10)
\]

The peroxy radical formed is highly reactive and able to propagate the chain reaction:

\[
P_{\text{UFA}} - OH + P_{\text{UFA}} \rightarrow P_{\text{UFA}} - OOH + P_{\text{UFA}}^\cdot. \quad (11)
\]

The formation of conjugated diene occurs when free radicals attack the hydrogens of methylene groups separating double bonds and, thereby, rearrangement of the bonds occurs [89]. The lipid hydroperoxides produced (PUFA-OOH) can undergo reductive cleavage by reduced metals, such as Fe\(^{2+}\), according to the following reaction:

\[
\text{Fe}^{2+} \text{ complex} + P_{\text{UFA}} - OOH \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} \text{ complex} + P_{\text{UFA}} - O^\cdot. \quad (12)
\]

Several reactive species including: lipid alkoxyl radicals, aldehydes (malonyldialdehyde, acrolein and crotonaldehyde), alkanes, lipid epoxides, and alcohols can be easily formed by the decomposition of lipid hydroperoxide [90]. The lipid alkoxyl radical produced, (PUFA-O\(^\cdot\)), can initiate additional chain reactions [91]:

\[
P_{\text{UFA}} - O^\cdot + P_{\text{UFA}} - H \rightarrow P_{\text{UFA}} - OH + P_{\text{UFA}}^\cdot. \quad (13)
\]
Peroxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acid by ROS attack can lead to chain breakage and, thereby, increase in membrane fluidity and permeability.

2.4.2. Proteins. The attack of ROS on proteins may cause modification of proteins in a variety of ways, some are direct and others indirect. Direct modification involves modulation of a protein’s activity through nitrosylation, carbonylation, disulphide bond formation, and glutathionylation. Proteins can be modified indirectly by conjugation with breakdown products of fatty acid peroxidation [92]. As a consequence of excessive ROS production, site-specific amino acid modification, fragmentation of the peptide chain, aggregation of cross-linked reaction products, altered electric charge and increased susceptibility of proteins to proteolysis occur. Tissues injured by oxidative stress generally contain increased concentrations of carbonylated proteins which is widely used marker of protein oxidation [93]. Enhanced modification of proteins has been reported in plants under various stresses [8, 11, 12, 94]. The amino acids in a peptide differ in their susceptibility to attack by ROS. Thiol groups and sulphur containing amino acids are very susceptible sites for attack by ROS. Activated oxygen can abstract an H atom from cysteine residues to form a thyl radical that will cross-link to second thyl radical to form disulphide bridge. Several metals, including Cd, Pb, and Hg have been shown to cause the depletion of protein bound thiol groups [95]. Oxygen also can be added to a methionine to form methionine sulfoxide derivative [96]. Tyrosine is readily cross-linked to form bityrosine products in the presence of ROS [97].

Oxidation of iron-sulphur centers by \( \text{O}_2^{•−} \) is irreversible and leads to enzyme inactivation [98]. In these cases, the metal (Fe) binds to a divalent cation-binding site on the protein. The metal (Fe), then, reacts in a Fenton reaction to form a *OH that rapidly oxidizes an amino acid residue at or near the cation-binding site of the protein [99]. Oxidized proteins serve as better substrates for proteolytic digestion. It has been suggested that protein oxidation could predispose it to ubiquitination, which, in turn, would be a target for proteasomal degradation [100]. The incubation of pea leaf crude extracts with increasing \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) concentrations, Cd-treated plants and peroxisomes purified from pea leaves showed increase in carbonyl content. Oxidized proteins were more efficiently degraded, and the proteolytic activity increased 20% due to the metal treatment [94]. Several studies have revealed that after a certain degree further damage leads to extensively cross-linked and aggregated products, which are not only poor substrates for degradation, but also can inhibit proteases to degrade other oxidized proteins [101].

2.4.3. DNA. ROS are a major source of DNA damage [102]. ROS can cause oxidative damages to nuclear, mitochondrial, and chloroplastic DNA. DNA is cell’s genetic material and any damage to the DNA can result in changes in the encoded proteins, which may lead to malfunctions or complete inactivation of the encoded proteins. Oxidative attack on DNA results in deoxyribose oxidation, strand breakage, removal of nucleotides, variety of modifications in the organic bases of the nucleotides, and DNA-protein crosslinks. Further, changes in the nucleotides of one strand can result in the mismatches with the nucleotides in the other strand, yielding subsequent mutations. Enhanced DNA degradation has been observed in plants exposed to various environmental stresses such as salinity [103] and metal toxicity [17]. Both the sugar and base moieties of DNA are susceptible to oxidation by ROS. Oxidative attack to DNA bases generally involves *OH addition to double bonds, while sugar damage mainly results from hydrogen abstraction from deoxyribose [104]. The hydroxyl radical is known to react with all purine and pyrimidine bases and, also, the deoxyribose backbone [105]. *OH generates various products from the DNA bases which mainly include C-8 hydroxylation of guanine to form 8-oxo-7,8 dehydro-2’- deoxyguanosine, hydroxymethyl urea, urea, thymine glycol, thymine and adenine ring-opened, and saturated products [106]. 8-Hydroxyguanine is the most commonly observed product. \( \text{O}_2 \) only reacts with guanine, whereas \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and \( \text{O}_2^{•−} \) do not react with bases at all [104, 107]. ROS-induced DNA damages include various mutagenic alterations as well. For example, mutation arising from selective modification of G:C sites, especially, indicates oxidative attack on DNA by ROS. ROS attack DNA bases indirectly through reactive products generated by ROS attack to other macromolecules such as lipid [108].

ROS attack to DNA sugars leads to single-strand breaks. ROS abstract hydrogen atom from the C4’ position of deoxyribose, leading to generation of a deoxyribose radical that further reacts to produce DNA strand breakage [109]. Under physiological conditions, neither \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) alone nor \( \text{O}_2^{•−} \) can cause \textit{in vitro} strand breakage. Therefore, it was concluded that the toxicity associated with these ROS \textit{in vivo} is most likely the result of Fenton reaction. When *OH attacks on either DNA or proteins associated with it, DNA protein crosslinks are formed [110]. DNA protein crosslinks cannot be readily repaired and may be lethal if replication or transcription precedes repair. Mitochondrial and chloroplast DNA are more susceptible to oxidative damage than nuclear DNA due to the lack of protective protein, histones, and close locations to the ROS producing systems in the former [111]. Even though repair system exists for damaged DNA, excessive changes caused by ROS lead to permanent damage to the DNA with potentially detrimental effects for the cell.

3. Antioxidative Defense System in Plants

Plants possess complex antioxidative defense system comprising of nonenzymatic and enzymatic components to scavenge ROS. In plant cells, specific ROS producing and scavenging systems are found in different organelles such as chloroplasts, mitochondria, and peroxisomes. ROS scavenging pathways from different cellular compartments are coordinated [112]. Under normal conditions, potentially toxic oxygen metabolites are generated at a low level and there is an appropriate balance between production and quenching of ROS. The balance between production and quenching of ROS may be perturbed by a number of adverse
environmental factors, giving rise to rapid increases in intracellular ROS levels [63, 113], which can induce oxidative damage to lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids. In order to avoid the oxidative damage, higher plants raise the level of endogenous antioxidant defense [113]. Various components of antioxidative defense system involved in ROS scavenging have been manipulated, overexpressed or downregulated to add to the present knowledge and understanding the role of the antioxidative systems.

3.1. Nonenzymatic Components of Antioxidative Defense System. Nonenzymic components of the antioxidative defense system include the major cellular redox buffers ascorbate (AsA) and glutathione (γ-glutamyl-cysteinyl-glycine, GSH) as well as tocopherol, carotenoids, and phenolic compounds. They interact with numerous cellular components and in addition to crucial roles in defense and as enzyme cofactors, these antioxidants influence plant growth and development by modulating processes from mitosis and cell elongation to senescence and cell death [114]. Mutants with decreased nonenzymic antioxidant contents have been shown to be hypersensitive to stress [115, 116].

3.1.1. Ascorbate. Ascorbate (AsA) is the most abundant, low molecular weight antioxidant that has a key role in defense against oxidative stress caused by enhanced level of ROS. AsA is considered powerful antioxidant because of its ability to donate electrons in a number of enzymatic and nonenzymatic reactions. AsA has been shown to play important role in several physiological processes in plants, including growth, differentiation, and metabolism. The majority of the AsA pool in plants is contributed by D-mannose/L-galactose commonly called Smirnoff-Wheeler pathway which proceeds via GDP-D-mannose, GDP-L-galactose, L-galactose, and L-galactono-1,4-lactone [117]. AsA is also synthesized via uronic acid intermediates, such as D-galacturonic acid [118]. In this pathway D-galacturonic acid is reduced to L-galactonic acid by galacturonic acid reductase, which is subsequently converted to L-galactono-1,4-lactone. The L-galactono-1,4-lactone is further oxidized to AsA by L-galactono-1,4-lactone dehydrogenase (GALDH) enzyme. It is synthesized in the mitochondria by L-galactono-γ-lactone dehydrogenase and is transported to the other cell components through a proton-electrochemical gradient or through facilitated diffusion. It is detected in the majority of plant cell types, organelles and apoplast in plants [119] and is found to be particularly abundant in photosynthetic tissues [120]. Most of AsA, almost more than 90%, is localized in cytoplasm, but unlike other soluble antioxidants a substantial portion is exported to the apoplast, where it is present in millimolar concentration. Aplastic AsA is believed to represent the first line of defense against potentially damaging external oxidants [121]. AsA protects critical macromolecules from oxidative damage. Under normal physiological condition, AsA mostly exists in reduced state in chloroplast where it also acts as a cofactor of violaxanthin de-epoxidase, thus, sustaining dissipation of excess excitation energy [122]. It provides membrane protection by directly reacting with $\mathrm{O}_2^{•−}$, $\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}_2$ and regenerating α-tocopherol from tocopheroxyl radical and preserves the activities of the enzymes that contain prosthetic transition metal ions [21]. AsA has a key role in removal of $\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}_2$ via AsA-GSH cycle [49]. Oxidation of AsA occurs in two sequential steps, first producing monodehydroascorbate (MDHA) and subsequently dehydroascorbate (DHA). In the AsA-GSH cycle, two molecules of AsA are utilized by APX to reduce $\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}_2$ to water with concomitant generation of MDHA. MDHA is a radical with a short life time and can spontaneously dismutate into DHA and AsA or is reduced to AsA by NADPH dependent enzyme MDHAR [123]. DHA is also highly unstable at pH values greater than 6.0 and is decomposed to tartarate and oxalate [21]. To prevent this, DHA is rapidly reduced to AsA by the enzyme DHAR using reducing equivalents from GSH [124]. AsA level has been reported to alter in response to various stresses [8, 11, 13, 14, 125, 126]. The level of AsA under environmental stresses depends on the balance between the rates and capacity of AsA biosynthesis and turnover related to antioxidant demand [127]. Overexpression of enzymes involved in AsA biosynthesis confers abiotic stress tolerance in plants. GDP-Mannose 3′,5′-epimerase (GME) catalyses the conversion of GDP-D-mannose to GDP-L-galactose, an important step in the Smirnoff-Wheeler pathway of AsA biosynthesis in higher plants. Overexpression of two members of the GME gene family resulted in increased accumulation of ascorbate and improved tolerance to abiotic stresses in tomato plants [128]. Overexpression of strawberry D-galacturonic acid reductase which participates in AsA biosynthetic pathway involving D-galacturonic acid as intermediate and reduces D-galacturonic acid to L-galactonic acid, leads to accumulation of AsA and enhanced abiotic stress tolerance in potato plants [129]. Increased AsA content has been shown to confer oxidative stress tolerance in Arabidopsis [130]. The vtc-1 mutant, deficient in the activity of GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase, an enzyme found in the initial part of the ascorbate biosynthetic pathway before it becomes committed to ascorbate synthesis [117] was found to be more sensitive to supplementary UV-B treatment than wild type plants [115].

3.1.2. Glutathione. Tripeptide glutathione (γ-glutamyl-cysteinyl-glycine, GSH) is one of the crucial low molecular weight nonprotein thiol that plays an important role in intracellular defense against ROS-induced oxidative damage. It has been detected virtually in all cell compartments such as cytosol, chloroplasts, endoplasmic reticulum, vacuoles, and mitochondria [131]. GSH is synthesized in the cytosol and chloroplasts of plant cells by compartment specific isoforms of γ-glutamyl-cysteinyl synthetase (γ-ECS) and glutathione synthetase (GS). The balance between the GSH and glutathione disulfide (GSSG) is a central component in maintaining cellular redox state. Due to its reducing power, GSH plays an important role in diverse biological processes, including cell growth/division, regulation of sulfate transport, signal transduction, conjugation of metabolites, enzymatic regulation, synthesis of proteins and nucleic acids,
synthesis of phytochelatins for metal chelation, detoxification of xenobiotics, and the expression of the stress-responsive genes [48]. GSH functions as an antioxidant in many ways. It can react chemically with $\mathrm{O}_2^\cdot$ , $\cdot \mathrm{OH}$, $\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}_2$ and, therefore, can function directly as a free radical scavenger. GSH can protect macromolecules (i.e., proteins, lipids, DNA) either by the formation of adducts directly with reactive electrophiles (glutathiolation) or by acting as a proton donor in the presence of ROS or organic free radicals, yielding GSSG [132]. It can participate in regeneration of a redox pool, either by de novo synthesis or recycling by GR, using NADPH as a cofactor and electron donor, is of vital importance for the cell. The role of GSH in the antioxidative defense system provides a rationale for its use as a stress marker. When apple trees were subjected to progressive drought, the initial response was a little oxidation of the GSH pool, followed by increased GSH concentrations. When the stress increased, GSH concentrations dropped and redox state became more oxidized, which marked the degradation of the system [135]. Similar to drought stress, altered ratio of GSH/GSSG has been observed in plants under various stresses like salinity [136], chilling [126], and metal toxicity [11, 13–15]. Overexpression of enzyme glutathione synthetase involved in GSH biosynthesis showed no effect on GSH level and was not sufficient to improve ozone tolerance [137] and resistance to photoinhibition in hybrid poplar (Populus tremula × P. alba). However, overexpression of γ-ECS showed reduced sensitivity towards cadmium stress in Indian mustard [139] and enhanced tolerance towards chloroacetanilide herbicides in poplar plants [140]. Eltayeb and coworkers [141] observed greater protection against oxidative damages imposed by various environmental stresses in transgenic potato with higher level of reduced glutathione.

### 3.1.3. Tocopherols

Tocopherols (α, β, γ, and δ) represent a group of lipophilic antioxidants involved in scavenging of oxygen free radicals, lipid peroxyl radicals, and $\mathrm{O}_2^\cdot$ [142]. Relative antioxidant activity of the tocopherol isomers in vivo is $\alpha > \beta > \gamma > \delta$ which is due to the methylation pattern and the amount of methyl groups attached to the phenolic ring of the polar head structure [143]. Hence, α-tocopherol with its three methyl substituents has the highest antioxidant activity of tocopherols [144]. Tocopherols are synthesized only by photosynthetic organisms and are present in only green parts of plants. The tocopherol biosynthetic pathway utilizes two compounds homogentisic acid (HGA) and phytyle phosphate (PDP) as precursors. At least 5 enzymes 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPD), homogentisate phytol transferases (VTE2), 2-methyl-6-phytylbenzoquinol methyltransferase (VTE3), tocopherol cyclase (VTE1), γ-tocopherol methyltransferase (VTE4) are involved in the biosynthesis of tocopherols, excluding the bypass pathway of phytol-tail synthesis and utilization [145]. Tocopherols are known to protect lipids and other membrane components by physically quenching and chemically reacting with $\mathrm{O}_2$ in chloroplasts, thus protecting the structure and function of P700 [146]. Tocopherols prevent the chain propagation step in lipid autoxidation which makes it an effective free radical trap. Fully substituted benzoquinone ring and fully reduced phytol chain of tocopherol act as antioxidants in redox interactions with $\mathrm{O}_2$ [105, 147]. $\mathrm{O}_2$ oxygen quenching by tocopherols is highly efficient, and it is estimated that a single α-tocopherol molecule can neutralize up to 220 $\mathrm{O}_2$ molecules in vitro before being degraded [143]. Regeneration of the oxidized tocopherol back to its reduced form can be achieved by AsA, GSH [147] or coenzyme Q [148]. Accumulation of α-tocopherol has been shown to induce tolerance to chilling, water deficit, and salinity in different plant species [149–152]. It was found that metabolic engineering of tocopherol biosynthetic pathway affected endogenous ascorbate and glutathione pools in leaves. Further study suggested that expression levels of genes encoding enzymes of Halliwell-Asada cycle were up-regulated, such as APX, DHAR and MDHAR [145]. Mutants of Arabidopsis thaliana with T-DNA insertions in tocopherol biosynthesis genes, tocopherol cyclase (vte1) and γ-tocopherol methyltransferase (vte4) showed higher concentration of protein carbonyl groups and GSSG compared to the wild type, indicating the development of oxidative stress [116]. Transgenic rice plants with Os-VTE1 RNA interference (OsVTE1-RNAi) were more sensitive to salt stress whereas, in contrast, transgenic plants overexpressing OsVTE1 (OsVTE1-OX) showed higher tolerance to salt stress [153]. OsVTE1-OX plants also accumulated less $\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}_2$ than control plants.

#### 3.1.4. Carotenoids

Carotenoids also belong to the group of lipophilic antioxidants and are able to detoxify various forms of ROS [154]. Carotenoids are found in plants as well as microorganisms. In plants, carotenoids absorb light in the region between 400 and 550 nm of the visible spectrum and pass the captured energy to the Chl [155]. As an antioxidant, they scavenge $\mathrm{O}_2^\cdot$ to inhibit oxidative damage and quench triplet sensitizer (3Chl*) and excited chlorophyll (Chl*) molecule to prevent the formation of $\mathrm{O}_2$ to protect the photosynthetic apparatus. Carotenoids also serve as precursors to signaling molecules that influence plant development and biotic/abiotic stress responses [156]. The ability of carotenoids to scavenge, prevent or minimize the production of triplet chlorophyll may be accounted for by their chemical specificity. Carotenoids contain a chain of pren residues bearing numerous conjugated double bonds which allows easy energy uptake from excited molecules and dissipation of excess energy as heat [7]. Gomathi and Rakkiyapan [157] observed that high carotenoids content favors better adaptation of sugarcane plants under saline condition.
3.1.5. Phenolic Compounds. Phenolics are diverse secondary metabolites (flavonoids, tannins, hydroxycinnamate esters, and lignin) which possess antioxidant properties. They are abundantly found in plant tissues [158]. Polyphenols contain and lignin) which possess antioxidant properties. They are well-known antioxidants, asA and α-tocopherol, in in vitro antioxidant assays because of their strong capacity to donate electrons or hydrogen atoms. Polyphenols can chelate transition metal ions, can directly scavenge molecular species of active oxygen, and can inhibit lipid peroxidation by trapping the lipid alkoxyl radical. They also modify lipid packing order and decrease fluidity of the membranes [159]. These changes could strictly hinder diffusion of free radicals and restrict peroxidative reactions. Moreover, it has been shown that, especially, flavonoids and phenylpropanoids are oxidized by peroxidase, and act in H$_2$O$_2$-scavenging, phenolic/asA/POD system. There is some evidence of induction of phenolic metabolism in plants as a response to multiple stresses [160]. Janas and coworkers [161] observed that ROS could serve as a common signal for acclimation to Cu$^{2+}$ stress and could cause accumulation of total phenolic compounds in dark-grown lentil roots. A mutant Arabidopsis thaliana L., having a single gene defect which led to a block in the synthesis of a group of flavonoids, displayed a dramatic increase in sensitivity to UV-B radiation compared with wild-type plants [162]. Transgenic potato plant with increased concentration of flavonoid showed improved antioxidant capacity [163].

3.2. Enzymatic Components. The enzymatic components of the antioxidative defense system comprise of several antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), guaiacol peroxidase (GPX), enzymes of ascorbate-glutathione (AsA-GSH) cycle ascorbate peroxidase (APX), monodehydroascorbate reductase (MDHAR), dehydroascorbate reductase (DHAR), and glutathione reductase (GR) [21]. These enzymes operate in different subcellular compartments and respond in concert when cells are exposed to oxidative stress. Table 1 shows various antioxidant enzymes in plants.

3.2.1. Superoxide Dismutase. Superoxide dismutase (SOD, 1.15.1.1) plays central role in defense against oxidative stress in all aerobic organisms [175]. The enzyme SOD belongs to the group of metalloenzymes and catalyzes the dismutation of O$_2^{-}$ to O$_2$ and H$_2$O$_2$. It is present in most of the subcellular compartments that generate activated oxygen. Three isoforms of SOD copper/zinc SOD (Cu/Zn-SOD), manganese SOD (Mn-SOD), and iron SOD (Fe-SOD) are reported in plants [176, 177]. All forms of SOD are nuclear encoded and targeted to their respective subcellular compartments by an amino terminal targeting sequence [178]. MnSOD is localized in mitochondria, whereas Fe-SOD is localized in chloroplasts [179]. Cu/Zn-SOD is present in three isoforms, which are found in the cytosol, chloroplast, and peroxisome and mitochondria [178, 180–182]. Eukaryotic Cu/Zn-SOD is cyanide sensitive and presents as dimer, whereas the other two (Mn-SOD and Fe-SOD) are cyanide insensitive and may be dimer or tetramers [175, 182].

SOD activity has been reported to increase in plants exposed to various environmental stresses, including drought and metal toxicity [8, 13]. Increased activity of SOD is often correlated with increased tolerance of the plant against environmental stresses. It was suggested that SOD can be used as an indirect selection criterion for screening drought-resistant plant materials [22]. Overproduction of SOD has been reported to result in enhanced oxidative stress tolerance in plants [183].

3.2.2. Catalase. Among antioxidant enzymes, catalase (CAT, 1.11.1.6) was the first enzyme to be discovered and characterized. It is a ubiquitous tetrameric heme-containing enzyme that catalyzes the dismutation of two molecules of H$_2$O$_2$ into water and oxygen. It has high specificity for H$_2$O$_2$, but weak activity against organic peroxides. Plants contain several types of H$_2$O$_2$-degrading enzymes, however, CATs are unique as they do not require cellular reducing equivalent. CATs have a very fast turnover rate, but a much lower affinity for H$_2$O$_2$ than APX. The peroxisomes are major sites of H$_2$O$_2$ production. CAT scavenges H$_2$O$_2$ generated in this organelle during photosynthetic oxygenation and fatty acids, and other enzyme systems such as XOD coupled to SOD, 3, 184, 185. Though there are frequent reports of CAT being present in cytosol, chloroplast, and mitochondria, the presence of significant CAT activity in these is less well established [186]. To date, all angiosperm species studied, contain three CAT genes. Willekens et al. [187] proposed a classification of CAT based on the expression profile of the tobacco genes. Class I CATs are expressed in photosynthetic tissues and are regulated by light. Class II CATs are expressed at high levels in vascular tissues, whereas Class III CATs are highly abundant in seeds and young seedlings.

H$_2$O$_2$ has been implicated in many stress conditions. When cells are stressed for energy and are rapidly generating H$_2$O$_2$ through catabolic processes, H$_2$O$_2$ is degraded by CAT in an energy efficient manner [188]. Environmental stresses cause either enhancement or depletion of CAT activity, depending on the intensity, duration, and type of the stress [8, 10, 189]. In general, stresses that reduce the rate of protein turnover also reduce CAT activity. Stress analysis revealed increased susceptibility of CAT-deficient plants to parquat, salt and ozone, but not to chilling [190]. In transgenic tobacco plants, having 10% wild-type, CAT activity showed accumulation of GSSG and a 4-fold decrease in AsA, indicating that CAT is critical for maintaining the redox balance during the oxidative stress [190]. Overexpression of a CAT gene from Brassica juncea introduced into tobacco, enhanced its tolerance to Cd induced oxidative stress [191].

3.2.3. Guaiacol Peroxidase. Guaiacol peroxidase (GPX, EC 1.11.1.7), a heme containing protein, preferably oxidizes aromatic electron donor such as guaiacol and pyragallol at the expense of H$_2$O$_2$. It is widely found in animals, plants,
### Table 1: Activation of antioxidant enzymes in response to oxidative stress induced by various environmental stresses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stresses</th>
<th>Antioxidant enzymes</th>
<th>Plant species</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drought</td>
<td>SOD, GPX, APX, MDHAR, DHAR and GR</td>
<td><em>Oryza sativa</em></td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>CAT, SOD and GR</td>
<td><em>Beta vulgaris</em></td>
<td>[164]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chilling</td>
<td>APX, MDHAR, DHAR, GR</td>
<td><em>Triticum sativum</em></td>
<td>[165]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal Al</td>
<td>SOD, GPX and APX</td>
<td><em>Oryza sativa</em></td>
<td>[166]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>SOD, GPX and APX</td>
<td><em>Olea europaea</em></td>
<td>[167]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>SOD, GPX and APX</td>
<td><em>Oryza sativa</em></td>
<td>[168]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>SOD, GPX, APX and GR</td>
<td><em>Zea mays</em></td>
<td>[169]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV-B</td>
<td>SOD, APX, CAT and GPX</td>
<td><em>Fragaria×ananassa</em></td>
<td>[170]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odium lini</td>
<td>GPX and APX</td>
<td><em>Linum usitatissimum</em></td>
<td>[173]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bean yellow mosaic virus</td>
<td>POD, CAT, APX and SOD</td>
<td><em>Vicia faba</em></td>
<td>[174]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and microbes. These enzymes have four conserved disulfide bridges and contain two structural Ca$^{2+}$ ions [192]. Many isoenzymes of GPX exist in plant tissues localized in vacuoles, the cell wall, and the cytosol [193]. GPX is associated with many important biosynthetic processes, including lignification of cell wall, degradation of IAA, biosynthesis of ethylene, wound healing, and defense against abiotic and biotic stresses [194]. GPXs are widely accepted as stress “enzyme.” GPX can function as effective quencher of reactive intermediary forms of O$_2$ and peroxy radicals under stressed conditions [195]. Various stressful conditions of the environment have been shown to induce the activity of GPX [6, 10, 16, 166, 189, 196]. Radotic and coworkers [196] correlated increased activity of GPX to oxidative reactions under metal toxicity conditions and suggested its potential as biomarker for sublethal metal toxicity in plants. Recently, Tayefi-Nasrabadi and coworkers [197] also concluded that greater protection of salt-tolerant safflower plants from salt-induced oxidative damage results, at least in part, through the increase of the GPX activity, catalytic efficiency and induction of specific isoenzymes compared to salt-sensitive cultivar.

#### 3.2.4. Enzymes of Ascorbate-Glutathione Cycle. The change in the ratio of AsA to DHA and GSH to GSSG is crucial for the cell to sense oxidative stress and respond accordingly. The AsA-GSH cycle also referred to as Halliwell-Asada pathway is the recycling pathway of AsA and GSH regeneration which also detoxifies H$_2$O$_2$. The AsA-GSH cycle involves successive oxidation and reduction of AsA, GSH, and NADPH catalyzed by the enzymes APX, MDHAR, DHAR, and GR. The AsA-GSH cycle is present in at least four different subcellular locations, including the cytosol, chloroplast, mitochondria, and peroxisomes [198]. AsA-GSH cycle plays an important role in combating oxidative stress induced by environmental stresses [8, 199].

(1) *Ascorbate Peroxidase*. Ascorbate peroxidase (APX, EC 1.1.1.11) is a central component of AsA-GSH cycle, and plays an essential role in the control of intracellular ROS levels. APX uses two molecules of AsA to reduce H$_2$O$_2$ to water with a concomitant generation of two molecules of MDHA. APX is a member of Class I super family of heme peroxidases [200] and is regulated by redox signals and H$_2$O$_2$ [201]. Based on amino acid sequences, five chemically and enzymatically distinct isoenzymes of APX have been found at different subcellular localization in higher plants. These are cytosolic, stromal, thylakoidal, mitochondrial and peroxisomal isoforms [198, 202–204]. APX found in organelles scavenges H$_2$O$_2$ produced within the organelles, whereas cytosolic APX eliminates H$_2$O$_2$ produced in the cytosol, apoplast or that diffused from organelles [205]. The chloroplastic and cytosolic APX isoforms are specific for AsA as electron donor and the cytosolic isoenzymes are less sensitive to depletion of AsA than the chloroplastic isoenzymes, including stromal and thylakoid bound enzymes [203, 206]. APX is regarded as one of the most widely distributed antioxidant enzymes in plant cells and isoforms of APX have much higher affinity for H$_2$O$_2$ than CAT, making APXs efficient scavengers of H$_2$O$_2$ under stressful conditions [207]. Many workers have reported enhanced activity of APX in response to abiotic stresses such as drought, salinity, chilling, metal toxicity, and UV irradiation [8, 10, 11, 15, 136, 208]. Overexpression of a cytosolic APX-gene derived from pea...
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if not rapidly reduced, it disproportionates to AsA and DHA [211]. Monodehydroascorbate reductase (MDHAR,
51.6.5.4) is a FAD enzyme that catalyzes the regeneration of AsA from the MDHA radical using NAD(P)H as the
electron donor [212]. It is the only known enzyme to use an organic radical (MDA) as a substrate and is also
capable of reducing phenoxy radicals which are generated by horseradish peroxidase with H2O2 [213]. MDHAR activity
is widespread in plants. The isoenzymes of MDHAR have been reported to be present in several cellular compartments such as chloroplasts [214], cytosol and mitochondria and peroxisomes [198, 215]. In chloroplasts, MDHAR could have two physiological functions: the regeneration of AsA from MDHA and the mediation of the photoreduction of dioxygen to O2•− when the substrate MDHA is absent [216]. Characterization of membrane polypeptides from pea leaf peroxisomes also revealed MDHAR to be involved in O2•− generation [64].

Several studies have shown increased activity of MDHAR in plants subjected to environmental stresses [8, 11, 15, 208]. Overexpression of Arabidopsis MDHAR gene in tobacco confers enhanced tolerance to salt and polyethylene glycol stresses [217]. Tomato chloroplastic MDHAR overexpressed in transgenic Arabidopsis enhanced its tolerance to temperature and methyl viologen-mediated oxidative stresses [218].

(3) Dehydroascorbate Reductase. Dehydroascorbate reductase (DHAR, EC 1.8.5.1) catalyzes the reduction of DHA to AsA using GSH as the reducing substrate [211] and, thus, plays an important role in maintaining AsA in its reduced form. Despite the possibility of enzymic and nonenzymic regeneration of AsA directly from MDHA, some DHA is always produced when AsA is oxidized in leaves and other tissues. DHA, a very short-lived chemical, can either be hydrolyzed irreversibly to 2,3-diketogulonic acid or recycled to AsA by DHAR. Overexpression of DHAR in tobacco leaves, maize, and potato is reported to increase AsA content [219, 220]. DHAR is a monomeric thiol enzyme abundantly found in dry seeds, roots and etiolated as well as green shoots. DHAR has been purified from chloroplast as well as nonchloroplast sources in several plant species, including spinach leaves [221] and potato tuber [222].

Environmental stresses such as drought, metal toxicity, and chilling increase the activity of the DHAR in plants [8, 11, 15, 125, 208, 223]. Consistent upregulation of the gene encoding cytosolic DHAR was found in L. japonicas, which was found to be more tolerant to salt stress than other legumes. This upregulation of DHAR was correlated to its role in AsA recycling in the apoplast [224]. Transgenic potato overexpressing Arabidopsis cytosolic AtDHAR1 showed higher tolerance to herbicide, drought, and salt stresses [225].

(4) Glutathione Reductase (GR). When acting as an antioxidant by participating in enzymic as well as nonenzymic oxidation-reduction cycles, GSH is oxidized to GSSG. In AsA-GSH cycle, GSH is oxidized in a reaction catalyzed by DHAR. Glutathione reductase (GR, EC 1.6.4.2), a NAD(P)H-dependent enzyme catalyzes the reduction of GSSG to GSH and, thus, maintains high cellular GSH/GSSG ratio. GR belongs to a group of flavoenzymes and contains an essential disulfide group [226]. The catalytic mechanism involves two steps: first the flavin moiety is reduced by NADPH, the flavin is oxidized and a redox active disulfide bridge is reduced to produce a thiolate anion and a cysteine. The second step involves the reduction of GSSG via thiol-disulfide interchange reactions [226]. If the reduced enzyme is not reoxidized by GSSG, it can suffer a reversible inactivation. Although it is located in the chloroplasts, cytosol, mitochondria, and peroxisomes, around 80% of GR activity in photosynthetic tissues is accounted for by chloroplastic isozymes [227]. In chloroplast, GSH and GR are involved in detoxification of H2O2 generated by Mehler reaction.

Several authors have reported increased activity of GR under environmental stresses [8, 11, 15, 125, 223]. Pastori and Trippi [228] observed correlation between the oxidative stress resistance and activity of GR and suggested that oxidative stress caused by paraquat or H2O2 could stimulate GR de novo synthesis, probably at the level of translation by preexisting mRNA. Antisense-mediated depletion of tomato chloroplast GR has been shown to enhance susceptibility to chilling stress [229]. Overexpression of GR in N. tabacum and Populus plants leads to higher foliar AsA contents and improved tolerance to oxidative stress [138, 230].

Due to the complexity of ROS detoxification system, overexpressing one component of antioxidative defense system may or may not change the capacity of the pathway as a whole [231, 232]. Several studies have shown that overexpression of combinations of antioxidant enzymes in transgenic plants has synergistic effect on stress tolerance [233, 234]. Kwon et al. [234] demonstrated that simultaneous expression of Cu/Zn-SOD and APX genes in tobacco chloroplasts enhanced tolerance to methyl viologen (MV) stress compared to expression of either of these genes alone. Similarly, enhanced tolerance to multiple environmental stresses has been developed by simultaneous overexpression of the genes of SOD and APX in the chloroplasts [235, 236], SOD and CAT in cytosol [231] and SOD and GR in cytosol [233]. Further, simultaneous expression of multiple antioxidant enzymes, such as Cu/Zn-SOD, APX, and DHAR, in chloroplasts has shown to be more effective than single or double expression for developing transgenic plants with enhanced tolerance to multiple environmental stresses [26]. Therefore, in order to achieve tolerance to multiple environmental stresses, increased emphasis is now given to produce transgenic plants overexpressing multiple antioxidants.
4. Overproduction of ROS under Stressful Conditions

The production of ROS in plants under normal growth conditions is low. However, in response to various environmental stresses, ROS are drastically increased in plants disturbing the normal balance of O₂⁻−, *OH, and H₂O₂ in the intracellular environment [113]. The effects of various environmental stresses such as drought, salinity, chilling, metal toxicity, UV-B radiation, and pathogen attack on ROS production are discussed below.

4.1. Drought. Under drought stress, ROS production is enhanced in several ways. Inhibition of carbon dioxide (CO₂) assimilation, coupled with the changes in photosystem activities and photosynthetic transport capacity under drought stress results in accelerated production of ROS via the chloroplast Mehler reaction [237]. During drought stress, CO₂ fixation is limited due to stomatal closure which, in turn, leads to reduced NADP⁺ regeneration through the Calvin cycle. Due to lack of electron acceptor, over reduction of the photosynthetic ETC occurs which leads to a higher leakage of electrons to O₂ by the Mehler reaction. Biehler and Fock [238] reported 50% more leakage of photosynthetic electrons to the Mehler reaction in drought stressed wheat plants, compared to unstressed plants. Photosynthetic activity is inhibited in plant tissues due to an imbalance between light capture and its utilization under drought stress [239]. Dissipation of excess light energy in the PSII core and antenna leads to generation of ROS which are potentially dangerous under drought stress conditions [1]. Under drought stress, the photorespiratory pathway is also enhanced, especially, when RUBP oxygenation is maximal due to limitation in CO₂ fixation [63]. Noctor and collaborators [63] have estimated that photorespiration is likely to account for over 70% of total H₂O₂ production under drought stress conditions.

O₂⁻− initiates a chain reaction leading to the production of more toxic radical species, which may cause damage far in excess of the initial reaction products. Under drought stress one of the real threats towards the chloroplast is the production of *OH in the thylakoids through “iron-catalysed” reduction of H₂O₂ by both SOD and AsA. Increased production of ROS leads to oxidative stress in growing plants. Rice seedlings subjected to drought showed increased concentration of O₂⁻−, increased level of lipid peroxidation, chlorophyll bleaching, loss of some antioxidants (AsA, GSH, α-tocopherol, and carotenoids), total soluble protein, and thiols [8, 208]. To combat danger posed by ROS, plants possess different scavenging enzymes and metabolites. Enhanced activity of enzymes of antioxidative defense system under drought [8] has higher APX and CAT activity, higher AsA content and lower H₂O₂ and MDA content [240]. In another study, the drought tolerant maize genotype Giza 2 was suggested to be comparatively tolerant to water stress compared to drought sensitive Trihybrid 321 owing to the lower increase in H₂O₂ and MDA content along with higher increase in SOD, CAT, and POX activities [189]. Similarly, among two apple rootstocks Malus prunifolia (drought-tolerant) and M. hupehensis (drought-sensitive), M. hupehensis was more vulnerable to drought than M. prunifolia, resulting in larger increases in the levels of H₂O₂, O₂⁻−, and MDA. The activities of SOD, POD, APX, GR, and DHAR and levels of AsA and GSH increased to a greater extent in M. prunifolia than in M. hupehensis in response to drought [241]. APX serves as an important component of antioxidative defense system under drought [8]. In rice plants, increase in the capacity of AsA regeneration system by de novo synthesis of MDHAR, DHAR, and GR has been shown to be one of the primary responses to water deficit so as to mitigate oxidative stress [8, 208].

4.2. Salinity. Salinity stress results in an excessive generation of ROS [12, 242]. High salt concentrations lead to overproduction of the ROS- O₂⁻−, *OH, H₂O₂, and O₂ by impairment of the cellular electron transport within different subcellular compartments such as chloroplasts and mitochondria, as well as from induction of metabolic pathways such as photorespiration. Salt stress can lead to stomatal closure, which reduces CO₂ availability in the leaves and inhibits carbon fixation which, in turn, causes exposure of chloroplasts to excessive excitation energy and overreduction of photosynthetic electron transport system leading to enhanced generation of ROS and induced oxidative stress. Low chloroplastic CO₂/O₂ ratio also favors photorespiration leading to increased production of ROS such as H₂O₂ [242]. Elevated CO₂ mitigates the oxidative stress caused by salinity, involving lower ROS generation and a better maintenance of reductant homeostasis as a consequence of higher assimilation rates and lower photorespiration [243]. Salinity-induced ROS disrupt normal metabolism through lipid peroxidation, denaturing proteins, and nucleic acids in several plant species [12, 242, 244]. Differential genomic and proteomic screenings carried out in Physcomitrella patens plants showed that they responded to salinity stress by upregulating a large number of genes involved in antioxidant defense mechanism [245] suggesting that the antioxidative system may play a crucial role in protecting cells from oxidative damage following exposure to salinity stress in P. patens. Salinity-induced oxidative stress and possible relationship between the status of the components of antioxidative defense system and the salt tolerance in Indica rice (Oryza sativa L.) genotypes were studied by Mishra et al. [166]. Seedlings of salt-sensitive cultivar showed a substantial increase in the rate of O₂⁻− production, elevated levels of H₂O₂, MDA, declined levels of thiol, AsA and GSH and lower activity of antioxidant enzymes compared to salt-tolerant seedlings. It was suggested that a higher status of antioxidants AsA and GSH and a coordinated higher activity of the enzymes SOD, CAT, GPX, APX, and GR can serve as the major...
4.3. Chilling. Chilling stress is a key environmental factor limiting growth and productivity of crop plants. Chilling leads to the overproduction of ROS by exacerbating imbalance between light absorption and light use by inhibiting Calvin-Benson cycle activity [249], enhancing photosynthetic electron flux to O₂ and causing overreduction of respiratory ETC [9]. Chilling stress also causes significant reductions in rbcL and rbcS transcripts, RUBISCO content and initial RUBISCO activity, leading to higher electron flux to O₂ [250]. H₂O₂ accumulation in chloroplast was negatively correlated with the initial RUBISCO activity and photosynthetic rate [250]. Chilling-induced oxidative stress evident by increased accumulation of ROS, including H₂O₂ and O₂•- via lipid peroxidation, and protein oxidation is a significant factor in relation to chilling injury in plants [169, 251, 252]. Protein carbonyl content, an indication of oxidative damage, was increased 2-fold in maize seedlings when exposed to chilling temperatures [251]. Lipoxigenase activity as well as lipid peroxidation was increased in maize leaves during low temperatures, suggesting that lipoxigenase-mediated peroxidation of membrane lipids contributes to the oxidative damage occurring in chill-stressed maize leaves [169]. Responses to chilling-induced oxidative stress include alteration in activities of enzymes of antioxidant defense system. The activities of antioxidative enzymes APX, MDHAR, DHAR, GR, and SOD increased during chilling periods in maize [169] and strawberry leaves [170]. However, if the duration of chilling stress is too long, the defense system may not remove overproduced ROS effectively, which may result in severe damage or even death [252]. Nonenzymic antioxidants (AsA, GSH, carotenoids, and α-tocopherol) also play important role in cold response. Under cold stress conditions, low-molecular weight antioxidants, especially, that of reduced AsA, have been suggested to be an important component in plant cell defense [126]. Many comparative studies using chilling-tolerant and sensitive genotypes have shown greater antioxidant capacity in chilling-tolerant species compared to sensitive ones [253–255]. In rice, higher activities of defense enzymes and higher content of antioxidant under stress were associated with tolerance to chilling [255]. The responses of antioxidative system of rice to chilling were investigated in a tolerant cultivar, Xiangnuo-1, and a susceptible cultivar, IR-50. The electrolyte leakage and malondialdehyde content of Xiangnuo-1 were little affected by chilling treatment, but those of IR-50 increased. Activities of SOD, CAT, APX, and GR and AsA content of Xiangnuo-1 remained high, while those of IR-50 decreased under chilling stress. GR activity was also found to increase within 24 h in chilling-tolerant Zea diploperennis, but it decreased slightly in chilling-susceptible Z. mays cv. LG11 [253].

4.4. Metal Toxicity. The increasing levels of metals into the environment drastically affect plant growth and metabolism, ultimately, leading to severe losses in crop yields [256, 257]. One of the consequences of the presence of the toxic metals within the plant tissues is the formation of ROS, which can be initiated directly or indirectly by the metals and, consequently, leading to oxidative damage to different cell constituents [6, 11, 14, 15, 258]. Under metal stress condition, net photosynthesis (Pₘ) decreases due to damage to photosynthetic metabolism, including photosynthetic electron transport (Pₘₑ) [259]. For example, copper has been shown to negatively affect components of both the light reactions (e.g., PSII, thylakoid membrane structure, and chlorophyll content) [259] and CO₂ fixation reactions [260]. These alterations in photosynthetic metabolism lead to overproduction of ROS such as O₂•- , *OH, and H₂O₂. The induction of ROS production due to metals (cadmium and zinc) in Nicotiana tabacum L. cv. Bright Yellow 2 (TBY-2) cells in suspension cultures showed properties comparable to the elicitor-induced oxidative burst in other plant cells [261]. Redox-active metals, such as iron, copper, and chromium, undergo redox cycling producing ROS, whereas redox-inactive metals, such as lead, cadmium, mercury, and others, deplete cell’s major antioxidants, particularly thiol-containing antioxidants and enzymes [6, 11, 14–16, 262–264]. If metal-induced production of ROS is not adequately counterbalanced by cellular antioxidants, oxidative damage of lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids ensues [13–15, 43, 46, 265, 266]. Significant enhancement in lipid peroxidation and decline in protein thiol contents were observed when rice seedlings were subjected to Al, Ni, and Mn toxicity [11, 14, 15].

The increased activity of antioxidative enzymes in metal-stressed plants appears to serve as an important component of antioxidant defense mechanism of plants to combat metal-induced oxidative injury [6]. Responses of metal exposure
to plants vary depending on plant species, tissues, stages of development, type of metal and its concentration. One of the key responses includes triggering of a series of defense mechanisms which involve enzymatic and nonenzymatic components [6, 11, 13–16, 262]. Various groups of workers have reported increased activities of antioxidant enzymes like GPX, SOD, APX, MDHAR, DHAR, and GR as well as nonenzymatic antioxidants in metal-treated plants and suggested involvement of antioxidant defense system in the adaptive response to metal ions [6, 11, 13–16, 171]. However, results suggest that activation of antioxidant enzymes in response to oxidative stress induced by metals is not enough to confer tolerance to metal accumulation. Comparative study of antioxidative response of two maize lines differing in Al tolerance suggested that better protection of the Al tolerant maize roots from Al-induced oxidative damage results, at least partially, from the increased activity of their antioxidative system. After 24 h of Al exposure, a gradual increase in the membrane lipid peroxidation in Al-stressed root of the susceptible maize line was accompanied by decreased activities of the antioxidant enzymes SOD and POD. In contrast, increased activities of the SOD and POD were found in Al-treated roots of the tolerant maize line, in which the level of membrane lipid peroxidation remained almost unchanged [267]. Comparative antioxidant profiling of tolerant (TPM-1) and sensitive (TM-4) variety of *Brassica juncea* L. performed after exposure to arsenate [As(V)] and arsenite [As(III)] showed in general, better response of antioxidant enzymes and the level of glutathione in TPM-1 than in TM-4 [268]. These responses presumably allowed TPM-1 to tolerate higher As concentrations as compared with that of TM-4 [268].

4.5. **UV-B Radiations.** UV-B radiation on plants is now of major concern to plant biologists due to the threat to productivity in global agriculture [269]. Enhanced UV-B significantly inhibits net photosynthetic rate. It has been shown that UV-B treatment results in decrease in the light-saturated rate of CO₂ assimilation, accompanied by decreases in carboxylation velocity and RUBISCO content and activity [270]. He and coworkers [271] observed marked decrease in the ratios of variable to maximum chlorophyll fluorescence yield and in the quantum yield of photosynthetic oxygen evolution in pea and rice leaves. Limited CO₂ assimilation due to UV-B leads to excessive production of ROS which, in turn, cause oxidative damage in plants [10, 272]. Rao and coworkers [172] suggested that UV-B exposure generates activated oxygen species by increasing NADPH-oxidase activity. Plants must adapt to the deleterious effects of UV-B radiation because they are dependent on sunlight for photosynthesis and, therefore, cannot avoid exposure to UV-B radiation. Plants possess antioxidative enzymatic scavengers SOD, POD, CAT, and APX and nonenzymatic antioxidants like AsA, GSH, and carotenoids to keep the balance between the production and removal of ROS. In *Picea asperata* seedlings although enhanced UV-B (30%) increased the efficiency of antioxidant defense system consisting of UV-B absorbing compounds, carotenoids, and antioxidant enzymes SOD, APX, CAT, and GPX [10], it induced overproduction of ROS and oxidative stress eventually. Peroxidase-related enzymes were found to be preferentially induced by UV-B exposure in *Arabidopsis* [172]. Gao and Zhang [115] observed that AsA-deficient mutant *vct1* was more sensitive to supplementary UV-B treatment than wild-type plants and, therefore, suggested that AsA could be considered as an important antioxidant for UV-B radiation.

4.6. **Pathogens.** One of the earliest cellular responses following successful pathogen recognition is oxidative burst involving production of ROS. Recognition of a variety of pathogens leads to generation of O₂⁺, or its dismutation product H₂O₂ in apoplast [273, 274]. Radwan and coworkers [174] observed higher H₂O₂ and MDA concentrations in *Vicia faba* leaves infected with bean yellow mosaic virus than those of the corresponding controls. Several enzymes have been implicated in apoplastic ROS production following successful pathogen recognition. The use of inhibitors pointed to plasma membrane NADPH oxidases and cell wall peroxidases as the two most likely biochemical sources [274]. The expression of these enzymes is induced following recognition of bacterial and fungal pathogens [275, 276]. Although the primary oxidative burst following pathogen recognition occurs in the apoplast, ROS can be produced in other cellular compartments like mitochondria and chloroplast. Abdollahi and Gahremani [277] studied the role of chloroplasts in the interaction between *Erwinia amylovora* and host plants by using uracil as chloroplast ETC inhibitor. Uracil presence significantly reduced ROS generation during pathogen-host interaction, and ROS generation corresponded with the appearance of necrosis in all cultivars [277]. Liu and coworkers [278] showed that activation of the SIPK/Ntff4/WIPK cascade by pathogens actively promotes the generation of ROS in chloroplasts, which plays an important role in the signaling for and/or execution of HR cell death in plants. They concluded that chloroplast burst occur earlier than NADPH oxidase burst and mitochondria-generated ROS might be essential in accelerating the cell death process.

Differential regulation of antioxidant enzymes, in part mediated by SA, may contribute to increases in ROS and activation of defenses following infection [81, 279]. In tobacco, the reduction of CAT and APX activities resulted in plants hyperresponsive to pathogens [279]. Significant increase in the activities of POD and CAT was observed in leaves of flax lines infected with powdery mildew [173]. Increase in POD activity was much pronounced in tolerant lines than susceptible lines. Enhanced activities of POD, CAT, APX, and SOD were observed in *Vicia faba* leaves infected with bean yellow mosaic virus indicating that the ROS-scavenging systems can have an important role in managing ROS generated in response to pathogens [174].

5. **Concluding Remarks**

ROS are unavoidable by products of normal cell metabolism. ROS are generated by electron transport activities of chloroplast, mitochondria, and plasma membrane or as
a byproduct of various metabolic pathways localized in different cellular compartments. Under normal growth condition, ROS production in various cell compartments is low. However, various environmental stresses such as drought, salinity, chilling, metal toxicity, and UV-B, if prolonged over to a certain extent, disrupt the cellular homeostasis and enhance the production of ROS. ROS play two divergent roles in plants; in low concentrations they act as signaling molecules that mediate several plant responses in plant cells, including responses under stresses, whereas in high concentrations they cause exacerbating damage to cellular components. Enhanced level of ROS causes oxidative damage to lipid, protein, and DNA leading to altered intrinsic membrane properties like fluidity, ion transport, loss of enzyme activity, protein crosslinking, inhibition of protein synthesis, DNA damage, ultimately resulting in cell death. In order to avoid the oxidative damage, higher plants possess a complex antioxidative defense system comprising of nonenzymatic and enzymatic components. Although rapid progress has been made in recent years, there are many uncertainties and gaps in our knowledge of ROS formation and their effect on plants mainly due to short half-life and high reactivity of ROS. Study of formation and fate of ROS using advanced analytical techniques will help in developing broader view of the role of ROS in plants. Future progress in genomics, metabolomics, and proteomics will help in clear understanding of biochemical networks involved in cellular responses to oxidative stress. Improved understanding of these will be helpful in producing plants with in-built capacity of enhanced levels of tolerance to ROS using biotechnological approach.
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