



ESALQ

Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz
Universidade de São Paulo

**SCIENTIA
AGRICOLA**

MANUSCRIPT TYPES ACCORDING TO THE JOURNAL *SCIENTIA AGRICOLA*

REVIEW

Review submitted to *Scientia Agricola's* Editorial Board should meet the following guidelines:

- ✓ The first author must be the corresponding author, who should present recognized expertise in the manuscript area, which must be evidenced by papers published in peer-reviewed scientific journals of high impact.
- ✓ The review should include a synthesis of detailed research on the most significant and up-to-date articles in the given field of knowledge and present a discussion of a consistent set of meaningful and innovative results, adequately illustrated, and summarized in figures and tables.
- ✓ The review article should also present the author's opinions and perspectives compared with those expressed by the authors cited and, if possible, provide new interpretations for the previously published results and presented in the bibliographic references section.
- ✓ A critical analysis of the references cited shall be as detailed as possible, targeting positive aspects and any possible flawed inferences or lack of details or information found in other papers. Similar, congruent, or complementary results must be grouped, and the authors should discuss and find explanations for conflicting, incongruent, or clashing results.
- ✓ The review article shall be finished with the authors' concluding remarks, overall appraisal of results discussed, and/or suggestions for complementary new research efforts indicating "conclusions" to the subject under review, even if of general or simplified nature.