

REVIEW ARTICLES

Scientia Agricola has a section for review articles. To be eligible for evaluation and possible publication, review articles submitted to *Scientia Agricola*'s Editorial Board should meet the following guidelines:

- The first author must be the corresponding author, who should present recognized expertise in the area of the manuscript, which must be evidenced by papers published in peer-reviewed scientific journals of high impact.
- The review should include a synthesis of detailed research on the most significant and up to date articles in the given field of knowledge, and present a discussion of a consistent set of meaningful and innovative results, adequately illustrated and summarized in figures and tables.
- In addition, the review article should present the author's opinions and perspectives as compared with those expressed by the authors cited, and, if possible, provide new interpretations for the results previously published and presented in the bibliographic references section.
- A critical analysis of the references cited shall be as detailed as possible, targeting not only positive aspects but also any possible flawed inferences or lack of details or information found in other papers. Similar, congruent or complementary results must be grouped; and the authors should discuss and find explanations for conflicting, incongruent or clashing results.
- The review article shall be finished with the authors' concluding remarks, overall appraisal of results discussed, and/or suggestions for complementary new research efforts indicating "conclusions" to the subject under review, even if of general or simplified nature.